

ID	Start time	Completion time	Email	Name	Last modified time	Do you agree with the proposal to amalgamate Harefield Infant School and Harefield Junior School to form a single two-form primary school?	If you answered 'No' or 'Don't know', please tell us why	Do you think these proposed changes will disadvantage any residents in Hillingdon?	If you answered 'Yes' or 'Don't know', please tell us why	The following questions are about you and are optional. Your answers will be kept in strict confidence and not shared with any third parties. Are you completing this questionnaire as:	How many children under the age of 18 live in your household?	Which school(s) does your child(ren) currently attend?	If you would like to provide any comments on the proposals please use the text box below.
1	6/18/25 9:36:46	6/18/25 9:40:36	anonymous			Yes		No	I don't think they will disadvantage anyone	Prefer not to say			The schools are running as one now anyway. A school with the number of children they have (even as two schools) does not need an Executive Head and a Head Teacher, it's a waste of money.
2	6/18/25 10:06:24	6/18/25 10:07:45	anonymous			No	- the schools should operate separately, helping with the change from year 2 - year 3 which prepares children for secondary	Yes	- the children will not get the added support they need	A parent/carer/guardian	5	Harefield Infant	
3	6/18/25 10:05:59	6/18/25 10:09:05	anonymous			No	Don't trust the council we don't need more houses for people who don't belong in them as we all no that's what you are gonna do with the land as you are all liars	Yes	Will over crowd the school and the local area with more people who don't need to be here	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Infants	Nothing short of a disgrace if the infant school is closed down...the people of the village don't have a voice anymore...the council driven by money horrible thing to do to the children and the people who work there...
4	6/18/25 10:05:58	6/18/25 10:10:18	anonymous			No	The schools needs all the money they can get in order to function effectively and to give children full opportunities to succeed	Yes	The children are residents in Hillingdon and they will be greatly impacted by the cuts to funding. Children with additional needs will suffer as they won't get the support they so desperately need. The wellbeing and workload of teachers will change massively, affecting their ability to be caring, thoughtful and great teachers.	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield Infant School	I personally think separation of these two school will be one of the worst decisions Hillington Council will make, it has worked perfectly how it is for many of years. I don't believe this needs to be changed when as I mentioned before it works.
5	6/18/25 10:10:30	6/18/25 10:11:08	anonymous			No		Yes	The financial implications for the school in terms of experiences for children would massively impact the families.	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield Infant School	
6	6/18/25 10:07:17	6/18/25 10:11:56	anonymous			No	The school currently works well how it is, to mix 4 year olds with 12 year old is very worrying thought! Especially in this day and age. Separating the school will result in a loss of their funding, which for children with severe autoimmune illnesses like my own it's very concerning knowing that there could be a cut in staff which means less care for the children that need extra help.	Yes	Loss of funding for the schools, will result in the parents having to cover more costs. They will loose their educational school trips which are currently heavily funded by the help of the school.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield Junior School	
7	6/18/25 10:13:28	6/18/25 10:15:45	anonymous			No	Funding will be reduced resulting in less for our children	Yes	Yes, the children's education	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield Junior School	
8	6/18/25 10:15:58	6/18/25 10:18:10	anonymous			No	It will reduce funding for the school and have an adverse affect on the children	Yes	Poorer education due to reduced funding. 97% of people said no and you still want to push forward with it.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield Infant	The council clearly does not care about its young residents. It's trying to save some money when it wastes so much elsewhere employing inept people to run certain departments.
9	6/18/25 10:20:09	6/18/25 10:22:29	anonymous			No	It will lose the smaller school feel and will drastically impact the budget to the schools	Yes	Less money for the school will have a impact on the teaching standards the school will not be able to afford more qualified and therefore experienced teachers and will have to rely more heavily on newly qualified cheaper teachers. The children's education will suffer.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Junior school	
10	6/18/25 10:24:02	6/18/25 10:27:08	anonymous			No	The council's amalgamation policy outlines specific criteria for when such a drastic step should be considered, yet none of these criteria apply to Harefield. This proposal is not only unjustified but also unlawful. More troubling, however, is the complete lack of consideration for the severe consequences this will have on the children, the staff, and the entire school community. By pushing this proposal forward, the council is not merely making a poor decision; it is showing a fundamental disregard for what is morally right.  Primary schools like Harefield are not just educational institutions—they are vital pillars of the community. The funding allocated to these schools is essential for providing quality education, specialized support, and resources that children need to thrive. Taking this funding away is not just a budget cut; it is stripping opportunities from the children who depend on it. This short-sighted decision will result in overcrowded classrooms, fewer teaching assistants, and a diminished capacity to support children with additional needs, ultimately undermining the education of vulnerable students for no justifiable reason.  Equally concerning is the potential loss of the school's outdoor spaces. While not yet confirmed, there is a real fear that due to cuts in funding, the school could lose vital land or buildings in the future—possibly including the school field. These outdoor areas are not just luxury spaces; they are integral to the children's physical, social, and emotional development. The loss of such resources would limit opportunities for physical education, outdoor play, and holistic learning. Although it may not be a certainty now, the reality of ongoing funding cuts makes this a very real concern for the future of the school. To even entertain the idea of losing such important facilities due to a flawed policy is reckless and short-sighted.  But perhaps the most morally questionable aspect of this proposal is the threat it poses to jobs—especially the roles of SENCO staff, who ensure that children with special educational needs receive the support they deserve. By undermining these positions, the council is sending a clear message that the needs of the most vulnerable children are secondary to a policy that lacks any real justification. This is not only an attack on those who work tirelessly to support the most vulnerable, it is a betrayal of the very values that education is meant to uphold.  CONTINUED BELOW AS NO CHARACTERS LEFT	Yes	Comments as above and below	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Infant & Junior Schools	CONTINUED FROM ABOVE  The proposal itself is a clear failure of leadership, ethics, and common sense. Instead of focusing on building up the community, supporting schools, and investing in the future of our children, the council is choosing to dismantle an institution that serves these vital needs. The council's actions raise serious questions about its moral compass—this isn't about efficiency; it's about sacrificing quality education, well-being, and the futures of these children for no legitimate reason.  What is even more concerning, however, is the council's decision to cancel the face-to-face meeting, opting instead to hold the consultation online. This move reflects an utter disregard for community engagement and accountability. A face-to-face meeting would have allowed for an open, transparent discussion, where parents, staff, and the wider community could voice their concerns and ask questions. Instead, by hiding behind the anonymity of an online meeting, the council is ensuring that the voices of those most affected by this decision are muffled, dismissed, or ignored. This decision further demonstrates a lack of respect for the people whose lives will be most impacted.  This is not just a bad decision—it is an indefensible one, and it must be stopped. The council should be deeply ashamed of not only the proposal but the dismissive way in which it has engaged with the community. This isn't about practicality or efficiency; it's about the human cost of a decision that threatens the future of these children, their education, and their community. The council must be held accountable for its actions, and this proposal must be abandoned.  Finally, of 552 responses during the informal consultation stage, 97% did not agree with the proposal. You simply do not care at all and you are a disgrace.
11	6/18/25 10:15:19	6/18/25 10:34:10	anonymous			No	I believe the amalgamation is not a good idea because it would mean losing the dedicated Infant School setting, which is specifically designed to meet the developmental, emotional, and educational needs of younger children aged 4-7. Infant schools provide a nurturing, age-appropriate environment with a strong focus on early childhood development, including play-based learning, emotional support, and tailored early years teaching methods. Merging with the Junior School risks these younger children being placed in a more formal, less supportive setting that may not be equipped to cater to their unique needs. This can have a negative impact on their confidence, well-being, and readiness to learn, especially during the crucial early stages of their education.	Yes	I am concerned that the proposed amalgamation of the Infant and Junior schools may disadvantage residents in Hillingdon, particularly those with children requiring specialist educational support. For instance, the closure of Infant School's Specialist Resource Provision (SRP) for physically disabled children has been met with significant opposition, with 96% of respondents in a consultation expressing their disagreement with the closure. Such closures could lead to a reduction in the availability of tailored educational resources, impacting families who rely on these specialized services. Furthermore, the amalgamation could result in increased class sizes and reduced individual attention for students, potentially affecting the quality of education provided to all pupils. This is particularly concerning in areas already experiencing challenges with school overcrowding.  Additionally, the disruption caused by the amalgamation may lead to increased absenteeism, as families adjust to new school structures and routines. These factors collectively suggest that the proposed changes may not be in the best interest of all residents, especially those with specific educational needs or concerns about the impact on their children's learning environment.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield Junior School	I believe this proposal has not fully considered the emotional and developmental impact on the youngest children, nor the long-term effects on educational quality. The Infant and Junior schools each have their own identity, culture, and staff with specialist training suited to specific age groups. By amalgamating them, we risk losing the strengths of both schools, especially the early years nurturing approach that the Infant School offers.  There has also been limited clarity about how the transition would be managed, what support would be in place for children during this change, and how staff roles or resources might be affected. More transparency, meaningful consultation with parents, and an independent impact assessment would be essential.  This feels more like a cost-saving measure than an educational improvement — and I worry the children will be the ones who lose out. In short, most of the parents strongly disagree with all of this.
12	6/18/25 10:30:12	6/18/25 10:35:14	anonymous			No	The school would be set to lose a huge amount of funding if this goes ahead and since the new head teachers have been on board budgets have already been cut to their lowest. The only way of saving more money would be to make staff redundant and then increase workloads on already stretched staff.	Yes	Parents and Children of future years will not get the same education as current children, due to budget cuts, funding cuts, staff redundancies and stretching staff further. The school can currently fund parts of trips for the children, so if money is cut any further future generations will not be offered the same trips or parents will be asked to find more money	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Junior School, The Reach Free School	As above, if further cuts are made, staff will lose their jobs, more pressure will be placed on staff that stay, children will not get the same education as currently offered.
13	6/18/25 10:39:40	6/18/25 10:42:05	anonymous			No	You will be cutting the funding it's a money saving exercise and the children are going to be at loss.	Yes	Children will suffer	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Infant	Why has no one provided more information to questions sent via these forms 97% do not want the amalgamation to happen so why are we going to formal stage?
14	6/18/25 10:09:32	6/18/25 10:43:09	anonymous			No	I do not agree with the amalgamation of Harefield Infant and Junior school. They do need meet the council's criteria for the proposal. Yet my children who currently attend both schools will be affected by this as the loss of funding that will occur will impact greatly as they will not be able to have teaching assistants in all class rooms as due to the lack of funding these unfortunately will be the first people to go.  There will be less provisions available to the children such as dedicated sports teachers as the school may not be able to afford them so then it is also down to the class teachers to provide this as it is not fair on them as this can be used as planning time rather than their own free time. B.) the children lose out on having dedicated sports coaching and facilities.  Our children would also not be able to have day trips out as all of the trips that our children currently have are subsidised by the school and as parents only cover part of the cost of the trip. As many people are unable to afford to pay £40 or £50 for their child to go on a school day trip they will be missing out on different learning experiences that may hinder their education.  I also believe that this will stop the children being able to participate in their forest school activities which many children enjoy and allows them to be children for an afternoon and not just sitting behind desks and learning.  There is also no need to remove either of the head teachers as they both care greatly about the school however you are going to end up forcing one out due to budget constraints and also the worry about it's either them or us. Which to be honest is not far  Why change something that is working? The school is never over budget in the year and that will mean that teachers will then start having to use their own money to buy supplies which is not fair and teachers should not have to do this if there is money in the budget for this. Which there will not be if the proposal goes ahead  I really believe that Hillingdon should listen to the parents of both Harefield Infant and Junior schools before making their decision as it is not the schools fault nor our children, who will suffer in the long run, for to your mounting debt. Look at other ways to save money that does not impact our children's education!!!!	Yes	All the children that attend both Harefield Infant and Junior schools education and well being will be greatly affected. As not all the children that attend the school are just from Harefield I am aware that there are children that attend the school from all over the borough. Also it may mean that people start to pull their children out of the school if their education is affected meaning that people will have to travel further and children will be taken away from what and who they know including their friends that they have made over the years whilst being happy at the school.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Infant School and Harefield Junior School	I think that Hillingdon council really needs to listen to people's views on the amalgamation as during the informal proposal 97% of people who responded were against the idea however you have proceeded with it. Maybe you should listen rather than just doing what you see best to save money. You are not saving money you are affecting our children and their education
15	6/18/25 10:50:37	6/18/25 11:00:29	anonymous			No	Happy with the way things are.	Yes	People will lose their jobs,	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harefield Juniors Harefield School	

16	6/18/25 10:39:35	6/18/25 11:02:00	anonymous	No	Amalgamating the school will involve a loss of finances. Finances which we all know schools desperately need in order to provide a well rounded and fully supported education for our children. Children's education needs more finances than ever, not less. How does the council propose that the school run without their much needed finances. 97% of those who responded to the proposed amalgamation were against this happening. Yet, Hillingdon council has once again not listened to its residents.	Yes	The school use their budget to help pay for school trips which are vital for a full rounded education. Not all children learn by sitting in the classroom all day. They need visual and interactive learning in order to grow and understand the world around them. Less budget means the school will no longer be able to help parents fund these trips, therefore many children from low-income families will not be able to take advantage of this trips, putting them at a direct disadvantage.	A parent/care/guardian	2	Harefield Junior School and The Reach Free School	I am against the amalgamation of the school to protect the education of my child and for future children
17	6/18/25 10:54:46	6/18/25 11:06:45	anonymous	No	As I said before in the previous consultation the removal of funding from this school will mean changes to it that detrimentally impact the children and the educational opportunities they will have. The school has made many changes over the last 4 years to ensure they operate efficiently within the budget they have. In doing so they have been able to provide opportunities that further enhance learning. They have a staffing structure that complements learning and supports the children. You will be removing funding from an already tight budget. The staffing structure supports the school when there are unplanned absences - removing the funding and impacting staff levels will see an increase in the need for supply teaching and therefore increased staff cost expenditure.	Yes	The children of Harefield are residents. They will NOT have the same educational opportunities that they do currently. They will NOT have the same level of support to class that they do now. They will NOT have the same stability throughout their learning journey that they do now. Removing costs and impacting staff will be a huge blow to the schools effectiveness. There are already a lot of disadvantaged children in Harefield that require a lot more than the "normal" support offered. Reducing funding will further impact this and their long term prospects.	A parent/care/guardian	2	Harefield Junior School and The Reach Free	Given the number of people who rejected this before I am shocked that you are moving forward. It just highlights to the community that you had no intention of listening to the residents of Harefield in the first round of "informal" consultation.
18	6/18/25 11:15:51	6/18/25 11:16:40	anonymous	Yes		No	n/a	A local resident			
19	6/18/25 11:08:39	6/18/25 11:17:06	anonymous	No	The budget cut will mean valuable staff will lose their jobs which will result in the children who need the most support will not get it.	Yes	The children who are SEN or those who need support to access the curriculum will not receive the support which will lead to their parents or family members needing to have access to further support which won't be available as the council are closing everything within the borough at the moment or charging extortionate amounts to access facilities				Is this actually worth it as the council don't seem to take any of the public views into consideration when setting these questionnaires.
20	6/18/25 11:22:00	6/18/25 11:24:38	anonymous	No	It's been two separate schools for years and years it needs to stay that way	Yes	Yes I will	A parent/care/guardian	3	Harefield Infants and Harefield Junior School	
21	6/18/25 11:24:11	6/18/25 11:26:35	anonymous	No	School will be over crowded, child with sens will have less space to help with their needs, local job loss.	Yes	Over crowded school, less school places for local children, job losses	A parent/care/guardian	4	Harefield schools	School have been there for years, why is it now being questioned and changed?
22	6/18/25 12:13:22	6/18/25 12:52:25	anonymous	No	The school already operates well together this is just Hillingdon boroughs way of making cut backs and saving money not about the improvement or best interests of the schools or the children who currently attend. This will be detrimental to the welfare of the school and its thriving environment meaning the children will suffer with the cut backs that will be made and the quality of teaching and equipment. I feel this will have a devastating effect and strongly disagree with the proposal	Yes	Lack of funds and less to work with as a school will most definitely affect residents those that are most vulnerable will be affected. I'm a single mum who works full time and the support from the school has been outstanding. Less money will mean loss of staffing less time and less support. Making those that are vulnerable at risk.	A parent/care/guardian	2	Harefield Infant and Harefield Junior	I attended both Harefield Infant and Junior school as a child and my two boys currently attend. There is a very close relationship forged between both schools and they already work as one allowing the children to grow and flow to the Junior years. How can the amalgamation improve on this? It can only mean that the school will suffer due to the budget being halved. Surely this is Hillingdon's way of making cut backs due to bad financial decisions elsewhere and now our children and village are going to suffer. Taken from a reliable online source about Hillingdon council: Reserves fell by 25 per cent in 2023/24, and are projected to drop to £20.3 million next year, a loss of £41.7 million in four years. The report states that the culture within the council needs to change to one where 'overspending is unacceptable' It's unacceptable that our schools will suffer and this amalgamation is unlawful. I put it to you that this not in the children's best interests and budget cuts should be made elsewhere. Please don't make our children and their education pay for your mistakes seriously reconsider making such a negative impact on our already outstanding schools
23	6/18/25 13:29:42	6/18/25 13:32:53	anonymous	No	Children need space to learn and grow and enjoy learning without being limited with space and resources.	Yes	You will lose lots of residents of Hillingdon as this will make them move and move they're children to less over crowded system.	A parent/care/guardian	4	Harefield infants, Harefield Junior and soon to be nursery	This should absolutely not happen
24	6/18/25 13:17:14	6/18/25 13:38:29	anonymous	No	The schools will have £159,000 less money.	Yes	Harefield children will have to be educated with less money				
25	6/18/25 13:15:56	6/18/25 13:48:40	anonymous	No	This will result in the school losing over £100k of their budget. All children and generations to come will suffer greatly because of this. The school and the staff work ridiculously hard to provide a safe education setting with already cut budgets over the years I am at a loss as to why Hillingdon Council want to make our children suffer the consequences of a decisions with absolutely no merit. The school have not reached any points in your own policy to warrant being amalgamated and your Director of Education on more than one occasion promised the school they would not be amalgamated. Hillingdon Council were clearly lying and breaking their own policy.	Yes	How can Hillingdon Council even ask the question of how will it disadvantage residents? You are purposefully taking £100k worth of funding from children in their Borough. I think it is quite clear that their education will suffer and those children who are yet to even come through the school.	A parent/care/guardian	1	Harefield Junior School	Coming from a Harefield family of which 3 generations have passed through both schools including myself and my son as well as having a grandmother and mother who worked in both schools I am absolutely disgusted at this proposal. After reading this proposal this is nothing short of the London Borough of Hillingdon trying to budget cut. Budget cut with children's education and futures is not where LBH should even consider trying to cut. I simply cannot see any other reason for this proposal having read all the documentation. As I have previously mentioned the infants and Juniors have not hit any of the triggers on your own Policy to warrant this amalgamation. Citing something as pathetic as it the uniform as a reason to take away £100k from children's education is beyond contempt quite honestly. The Director of Education a LBH promised the Head Teacher that Harefield would not be amalgamated and get how we are. They were clearly lied to. The fact that the 1st person meeting as part of the consultation was cancelled and held on teams is disgraceful. You did not give residents/parents/staff the opportunity to voice their concerns or ask the questions they wanted to in person. Why? When something as huge as this is affecting the entire community LBH clearly "was scared" from what I can see. If you aren't able to stand by your proposals and face the music of the community then that shows residents just what a weak local authority we live in and pay our council tax to. My husband tried to join the teams meeting and was not allowed in and after speaking to many other parents and local residents neither were they so it would appear LBH didn't want to deal or answer everyone's concerns. One gentleman from LBH who turned up to one meeting held at the Juniors with the Head Teacher virtually sat out the door as they didn't want to answer any questions - why send him? From that incident you clearly realised that the community were deeply horrified by the proposal and that is when you chose not have the in person meeting at the village library. Given the fact that you had over 500 responses against this proposal you are still continuing with this appalling budget cutting exercise - why? You clearly have not listened to parents/residents/staff views at all and just gone full steam ahead regardless. You frequently post on social media and through your Hillingdon People magazine singing from the rooftops the achievements of young people and how young people and services to them about through education or other means are so important. Well maybe you need to take a look at how taking £100k in money from the children of Harefield for their education is beneficial to them as by doing this you clearly don't rank young people's futures as highly as you like to make out.
26	6/18/25 17:29:01	6/18/25 17:35:06	anonymous	No	Does not make any sense and will be detrimental to Harefield village, its residents and the school staff. There is no current issue with the schools being separate so why change it.	Yes	Local residents work in the school so some may receive redundancy or job losses as amalgamating the schools will mean less funds for staffing etc. The building is said to be used for housing this will mean more occupants and traffic.	A local resident	1	Harefield Infant School	I believe regardless of us opposing the amalgamation and the fact that 97% have not agreed and raised their concerns etc. the council will do whatever they like. So our opinions will not count or matter so what was the point in having our vote or say.
27	6/18/25 18:45:36	6/18/25 18:46:37	anonymous	No	No benefit for the children, infant will be to their detriment	Yes	Disadvantage to the wider community and the children				
28	6/18/25 22:22:42	6/18/25 22:32:39	anonymous	No	It has affected their budget, and as a result, they need to reduce staff. However, it is now beneficial for infant students to gradually engage with the youngest students.	Yes	It has affected the quality of the school.	A parent/care/guardian	1	Harefield Infant school	They are working so hard to maintain the school's high standards, so if you don't want to change/tell the property, why make changes that could lead to negative consequences?
29	6/19/25 0:01:05	6/19/25 0:02:32	anonymous	No	Loss of valuable budget for the future of our kids	Yes	Parents will start sending kids to different school for better education	A parent/care/guardian	2	Harefield Junior school	
30	6/19/25 9:20:58	6/19/25 9:21:55	anonymous	No		Yes	unsettling for the parents and children in the school, and a lot of change	Prefer not to say	1	Harefield Junior School	
31	6/19/25 12:02:54	6/19/25 12:11:44	anonymous	No	I feel that if the school is amalgamated, the education of our children will decrease in standards as there is present is funding for two schools, which will decrease by 50% therefore staff cuts will have to be made, fewer resources for learning for the children. Harefield children need a better education to get them further in life, its a struggling area workwise and career wise, and children are the future. This will not help them get a head start in life to progress as their education will be poorer.	Yes	I presume that by only having one school there will only be one entrance where the current junior school entrance is, if this is the case, the High Street will be chaos everyday at school drop off and collection time. we as shop residents already suffer people parking on our access road and rubbish dumped by the same said parents. the high street will have more cars moving through the village, none of which want to park in the car park in the High Street as they are mainly too busy but also don't want to pay. This will need to be addressed as it may also become dangerous with more cars and more children in congested times.	A parent/care/guardian	2	Harefield	I am having my say not only as a parent of a child at the Junior School but also as a business owner in Harefield whom the new amalgamation will directly affect.
32	6/19/25 14:42:03	6/19/25 14:45:09	anonymous	No	It will mean less money for the children's education.	Yes	The Harefield children will be disadvantaged as there will be less money to educate them.	A parent/care/guardian	3	Harefield Infant School	
33	6/19/25 17:35:46	6/19/25 17:40:32	anonymous	No	You have no legitimate reason according with the policies you set out to complete this amalgamation, which will have significant detrimental impact to all of the children. This includes significant reduction in financials which is unsustainable for the school to maintain their current standards.	Yes	Significant reduction in lack of funding will have a detrimental impact on ALL pupils and the ability to perform adequate education for all.	A parent/care/guardian	2	Harefield Infant and Harefield Junior schools	Utterly despicable that our voices have not been heard, despite 97% of feedback has already raised significant concerns.
34	6/20/25 20:22:57	6/20/25 20:25:30	anonymous	No	It will limit the school proposal, it will reduce the support school offers today.	Yes	It will disadvantage poor families and limit their access to some of the school proposals.	A parent/care/guardian	3	Harefield Junior School	
35	6/23/25 11:04:39	6/23/25 11:05:24	anonymous	Yes		No	NA	Other	0	NA	
36	6/23/25 11:36:52	6/23/25 11:37:45	anonymous	Yes		No	same amount of children	A local resident	2	sacredheart	save money on 2 heads
37	6/23/25 11:43:04	6/23/25 11:44:27	anonymous	Yes		No		A local resident	0		
38	6/23/25 15:00:09	6/23/25 15:03:06	anonymous	No	Breach of the Equality Act 2010, humiliation of dignity and discrimination of population of young children in our Borough	Yes	Lack of resources for pupils would reflect to their education	A local resident	3	Harefield Infant and Junior	
39	6/25/25 12:06:24	6/25/25 12:08:41	anonymous	No	Our local school will lose a significant amount of its budget, which in turn will lead to loss of jobs and our kids not given the attention or help they need in class.	Yes	As per question 1.	A parent/care/guardian	1	Harefield Junior School	You have not taken out a full review of the proposed implications and your numbers/data that your are using are not correct.
40	6/25/25 14:02:08	6/25/25 14:03:16	anonymous	No		Yes	Loss of budget will put pressure on SEN support and pastoral support for the Travelling community who have children in the school	A parent/care/guardian	1		

41	6/25/25 14:41:53	6/25/25 15:01:07	anonymous	No	The loss of one hundred and fifty nine thousand pound to the children that attend the schools, now and that off future children will be detrimental to there learning. This will effect SEN children massively to lose TA from classes will then lead to SEN children not learning then disruptions going on in class that will then have a knock on effect to all pupils in the school. The schools work very closely together as it is which has worked well for many years now with deputy heads in each school that also teach the children full time, they now have a new Senco that works across both sites to help the children integrate from infants to juniors. The schools as a whole manage there budgets so well as to not be in a deficit. Can the same thing be said about other infants and juniors in the borough. It is making the choice to do this to Hatfield Schools make people think there is another motive	Yes	As stated above the loss of money will be very detrimental to future children the have made Hatfield there homes.	A local resident	None	We have a children's centre Nursery and Hatfield infants and Hatfield Juniors all together which helps people get to no everybody that is going to be caring for there children why change something that isn't broken. The two Teachers that run both schools are very family and children orientated
42	6/25/25 16:28:33	6/25/25 16:29:43	anonymous	No		Yes	Massively putting SEN learners at a disadvantage by removing budget which takes away staffing. It doesn't make sense			
43	6/25/25 16:55:11	6/25/25 17:02:17	anonymous	No	There is no positive reason to amalgamate Hatfield Junior School and Hatfield Infant School. The reduction in budget will adversely effect the most vulnerable children and families due to the only option for the management and Board of Governors - TO REDUCE SUPPORT STAFF. It will result in a loss of 150,000 which will result in essential support staff losing their jobs. Support staff are valuable in supporting children who struggle. Furthermore the proposed benefits already exist within both schools, because they run similar a curriculum and co-education. The loss of money will have an impact directly on the children.	Yes	Children with special educational needs that are not eligible for an ECHP will have no extra support.	A staff member	0	The reasons mentioned in the proposal do not have any actual value.
44	6/25/25 17:50:04	6/25/25 17:58:27	anonymous	No	It will result in a loss of 150,000 which will result in essential support staff losing their jobs. Support staff are valuable in supporting children who struggle. Furthermore the proposed benefits already exist within both schools, because they run similar a curriculum and co-education. The loss of money will have an impact directly on the children.	Yes	Families who live in Hatfield have children who attend the schools and their children will lose vital support staff and deliver interventions for children who need extra support.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Hatfield Junior School The benefits stated in the proposal are irrelevant as the schools already work closely together. Secondly, how democratic was the first consultation when 97% disagreed with the proposal, yet Hillington went ahead with the formal proposal. This is extremely transparent!!!
45	6/27/25 5:46:02	6/27/25 5:47:27	anonymous	No	The loss of money will have an impact directly on the children.	Yes	Children will go elsewhere for a better education	Prefer not to say		
46	6/27/25 5:47:36	6/27/25 5:50:18	anonymous	No	A loss to any school will only effect the pupils how can a school continue to offer the same opportunities with £159k less	Yes	The school will not be able to accommodate the pupils with less extra staff			To state that pupils will not be affected by the financial loss is a lie. Staffing will have to be cut
47	6/27/25 5:50:33	6/27/25 5:53:15	anonymous	No	I worry for my children's education having a SEN child without an ECHP the TA support is invaluable and ultimately it will be TAs that have to be cut. Leaving my child without his current support	Yes	The school will not be able to offer the same high quality education with a loss from school budget.			
48	6/27/25 5:54:38	6/27/25 5:55:50	anonymous	No	Budget loss	No	The impact on the school.			
49	6/27/25 5:52:50	6/27/25 5:54:55	anonymous	No	No educational benefits for the children. Children will miss out on opportunities due to less funding and staff will have to be cut.	Yes	SEN children will not be able to get support. Teachers will be overworked. All children won't get additional educational benefits the school currently offer e.g school trips for free	Prefer not to say		
50	6/27/25 16:23:29	6/27/25 16:24:41	anonymous	No	You state that there will be no loss of jobs, but cannot guarantee that - particularly as you are posting the figures of SLT which suggest you're implying costs could be cut here.	Yes	This will be a disadvantage to the pupils of the school due to a loss of £159,000			
51	6/27/25 18:31:36	6/27/25 18:34:10	anonymous	No	History of the schools will be diminished. No extra funding is given to a school when it amalgamates.	Yes	Disappointing show by Hillington council again. The Borough is changing beyond recognition.	Other	2	St Matthews
52	6/29/25 9:44:51	6/29/25 9:49:44	anonymous	No	The schools already work extremely closely together. There is NO benefit to the children and they should be at the forefront of any decision made. Losing £159k will have a massive impact on those children as it will mean loss of staff, you cannot say otherwise!	Yes	If the residents have children at the school then yes it will affect them as their children will be affected.		2	
53	6/29/25 16:17:55	6/29/25 16:24:20	anonymous	No	The schools will lose funding which will mean they will not be able to provide the same 'good' provision as they currently do. The loss of funding will effect the most vulnerable. The loss of funding will ultimately mean a loss of jobs for staff. There will be no educational benefits for the children as the schools work cohesively, sharing curriculum and staff training to name a few.	Yes	This proposal will disadvantage Hatfield children due to loss of funding.	A staff member	1	The Hatfield School I have friends who are teachers at schools that have been through the amalgamation process and it has had a negative impact on the staff and children. With their schools going from good to requires improvement in their recent OFSTED inspections.
54	6/29/25 19:00:48	6/29/25 19:02:25	anonymous	No	Because of the impact on funding for the schools	Yes	Because the school will have less funds to support disadvantaged children such as those living in poverty or those with disabilities	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Hatfield infants school
55	6/29/25 19:02:37	6/29/25 19:04:37	anonymous	No	Because losing that amount of funding would be detrimental to the education of the children. The staffing cuts and other losses that would have to happen would affect them terribly.	Yes	Because their children's education will be affected	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Hatfield infant and junior
56	6/29/25 19:12:40	6/29/25 19:14:30	anonymous	No	I don't see how the school losing £159k is meant to be of any benefit to the children. It's also been said that the amalgamation won't cause any change to staffing but I struggle to understand that as being true, because they have to make their savings somewhere!	Yes	It will disadvantage everyone. Most importantly, it will disadvantage the children who will not receive the support they need			
57	6/29/25 19:14:55	6/29/25 19:18:20	anonymous	No	I think it's a ridiculous and disappointing move from the council. Schools are already struggling enough yet the council want to make a change that will result in a £159k loss! The schools already work closely and do so effectively, so there is no benefit to the amalgamation. If anything, the schools will work less effectively due to the pressures caused by such a huge financial loss.	Yes	It will disadvantage children in Hatfield and staff members			
58	6/29/25 19:18:21	6/29/25 19:21:01	anonymous	No	I don't agree with the funding being cut by £159k. This will massively disadvantage all children, particularly those with SEN needs & those from disadvantaged backgrounds.	Yes	It will affect staff members who work at the school as their workload will increase due to the staff shortages that are bound to eventually take place as there won't be enough funding to cover staff wages, and children who attend the school.	A parent/carer/guardian	3	If Hillington council had any sense of morals or care for the children in the borough, they would not go ahead with this.
59	6/29/25 19:37:07	6/29/25 19:44:28	anonymous	No	No benefit to Hatfield children. How is it possible to maintain 2 schools with a financial deficit of 150,000?!	Yes	Hatfield children and families that need additional support and needs are going to suffer with the lack of financial support that is being proposed.	A staff member	2	The reach free school
60	6/29/25 19:48:04	6/29/25 19:51:57	anonymous	No	You are taking me from the school when needed	Yes	This will have a detrimental effect on children with reduced move	A parent/carer/guardian	1	The council needs to listen to parents. This will have major effect o. Our children.
61	6/29/25 20:08:32	6/29/25 20:09:09	anonymous	No	School would suffer dramatically with the loss of funding	Yes	Shortage of places and teaching staff!!!	A local resident		
62	6/29/25 20:20:23	6/29/25 20:22:38	anonymous	No		Yes	Yes the children's education	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Hatfield infants and junior
63	6/29/25 20:18:58	6/29/25 20:23:47	anonymous	Yes		No	Hillington has gone to the dogs !!	A parent/carer/guardian	1	N/A School is terrible anyway, provision are no existent and ***** need to go - !!
64	6/29/25 21:47:53	6/29/25 21:59:45	anonymous	No	There is no evidence to show a benefit to anyone with this decision. The schools already run well as two schools that benefit from staff working across both schools, children benefitting from across schools teaching and themes and amalgamating will mean loss of funding which will impact children directly.	Yes	As the amalgamation will result in decreased funding to the school that will result in the school and governor's having no choice but to cut costs and that will be staffing, programmes run by the school and resources. Without those, in particular staff the children's support which suffer, especially children with a ECHP who need additional interventions to support them in school so it will put them at a disadvantage to children in other schools.	A staff member	2	Lady Bankes Rusp It's very disappointing when the majority of people who responded to this first round of this proposed amalgamation were against it that they've been ignored and it's progressed anyway. Hatfield is a real community and they and their children deserve better.
65	6/29/25 22:00:13	6/29/25 22:01:07	anonymous	No	No educational benefits to the children. Loss of funding for the school which directly impacts children and staff	Yes	Children, staff, parents	A parent/carer/guardian		
66	6/29/25 22:01:29	6/29/25 22:02:31	anonymous	No	School is already on a stretched budget and will have half the budget.	Yes	Children won't get the same amazing provisions they receive as loss of money			
67	6/29/25 22:17:34	6/29/25 22:25:08	anonymous	No	I do not agree with the proposed amalgamation of the 2 schools due to the loss of funding as a result. This loss of funding will result in the children of Hatfield being at a disadvantage to other schools in the borough. The children will not get the level of support in their learning as they currently get nor will they get to experience the opportunities they currently have to enrich their learning. On the loss of nearly £160,000 per year the school will no longer be able to afford this. The school currently works together effectively sharing curriculum, staff and a wealth of knowledge and does not need to be amalgamated to achieve this. I can see no advantages of amalgamation of the 2 schools.	Yes	Please see the above reason that the children of Hatfield will be at a disadvantage. They are residents of Hillington	Prefer not to say		
68	6/29/25 22:27:14	6/29/25 22:28:40	anonymous	No	takes funding away from the school which will disadvantage the children	Yes	will disadvantage the children who live in hillington	Other	1	hatfield junior
69	6/29/25 22:27:13	6/29/25 22:28:57	anonymous	No	Will heavily disadvantage the pupils, limiting their access to education	Yes	The education and resources received by pupils will be reduced meaning the quality of the education received by those pupils will be limited	A local resident	1	My sibling: Hatfield junior
70	6/29/25 22:27:47	6/29/25 22:29:02	anonymous	No	The school will lose money and will disadvantage the children.	Yes	Less funds available for the school, so children disadvantaged	A local resident	1	
71	6/30/25 8:20:29	6/30/25 8:35:44	anonymous	No	The two separate schools are in a federation that allows them to conduct themselves in the manner a primary school would, but by also having the benefit of receiving funding as two independent schools. This funding goes towards many things but mainly provides teaching staff. The increase in staff means that children have more access to help in the classrooms and opportunities for wider educational fulfilments. What the school has done should be used as a model for other schools, but instead they are being punished for it. The council has given 7 points as to why this would be beneficial but disregard the fact that 6 of these are already being done. They also have ignored the fact that the 7th point, one that only affects parents, is not a bother for 97% of the parents. The council has also said that if the school doesn't receive the money they don't benefit from it, so the question is why would the council be doing the opposite of their jobs and instead of wanting to keep the funding up they have made moves to remove it. There is not an example of any educational institutions on the face of this planet that have benefited from a decrease in funding, so why Hillington think this is a good idea is absurd.	Yes	A decrease in funding means less teachers, less educational opportunities, less staff for children in need to call upon. As stated before, not one example of an educational setting benefiting from a decrease in funding can be found on this earth. Less does not equal more.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Hatfield infant school The council have failed to take on the views and opinions of the residents. We disagreed with them by 97% yet they moved forward. They refused to tell what will happen to the money if it doesn't come to the school. They are pushing points through that are already being met. There is a dishonesty about what they are doing and are not being transparent about the real reason behind this decision.
72	6/30/25 11:53:48	6/30/25 11:56:57	anonymous	No	I can't see how this benefits the children in way at all. The school operates very well as it is and this just looks to be a cost saving exercise for a council who car little for the community or the generations to come through the school.	Yes	As above. How can removing funding and staff from a school be beneficial?	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Hatfield junior school
73	6/30/25 13:45:27	6/30/25 13:48:07	anonymous	No	£159000 less money for the schools.	Yes	The children and families of Hatfield will be disadvantaged as they will have less money in their budget compared to the other infant and junior schools in the borough.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Hatfield infant and junior Schools
74	6/30/25 14:55:06	6/30/25 14:57:19	anonymous	No	The proposal states that children with SEN needs will continue to receive the same high levels of support. That cannot be guaranteed as the significant loss in funding will inevitably lead to staffing cuts.	Yes	Pupils with SEN needs who do not have additional funding through an ECHP will be disadvantaged as they won't receive as much support.			
75	6/30/25 17:04:42	6/30/25 17:05:18	anonymous	No	No educational benefits for the children.	Yes	The children will have less funding for their school which will ultimately result in less staff.	A local resident	3	Vyners
76	6/30/25 17:17:53	6/30/25 17:19:43	anonymous	No	Because it's just an admin change in order to have a legal way of reducing the budget	Yes	As less budget harder to provide what the children of Hatfield need to get a decent primary education			
77	7/1/25 6:39:54	7/1/25 6:46:39	anonymous	No	Set up of the school right now is fine	Yes	The parents who send children to school will add the burden to pay the expenses of the activities that supposedly the kids has the right to enjoy	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Hatfield junior school I am againsts the amalgamation..let the children enjoy and learn. Budget of the school contributes for the quality of education that the school might offer.
78	7/1/25 6:51:19	7/1/25 6:57:18	anonymous	No	It's a badly thought out plan that on paper someone has said will save the council money when in fact any legitimate savings have already happened.	Yes	The children of Hatfield will suffer as their primary education will be underfunded.	A local resident	2	Vyners Full transparency of the rationale for councils plans should be made clear. If I beg if there is actually a reason that will benefit the children it needs to be explained. It appears as if a single senior is suggesting savings without loss of "service" for kids but the reality is number crunchers have ticked a we have to do this box without thinking of the reality to the children and families
79	7/1/25 7:00:47	7/1/25 7:04:30	anonymous	No	There will be a cut of 159,000, which will impact the school budget and ultimately impacting children through cuts to SLAs	Yes	As stated above in q.1	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Hatfield junior school Please to not interfere with tge schools. They are excellent schools, with staff who care about children's futures. The amalgamation will impact funding and result in cuts to support staff who are there to support vulnerable children.
80	7/1/25 7:07:03	7/1/25 7:07:50	anonymous	No	The schools will receive £159,000 less every year. The schools will face increased pressure on already tight budgets. The schools will not be able to provide the same level of support to children with Special Educational Needs (SEN). The overall outcome for the children will be negative	Yes	The schools will receive £159,000 less every year. The schools will face increased pressure on already tight budgets. The schools will not be able to provide the same level of support to children with Special Educational Needs (SEN). The overall outcome for the children will be negative.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Hatfield junior school

81	7/1/25 7:12:09	7/1/25 7:21:37	anonymous	No	No this a blatant and heavy handed cost cutting exercise that will have a negative impact on the children of harefield who use it. Yes  The schools are already in a successful federation which they entered without the council's forced management. This alone makes the amalgamation redundant for any other reason than taking funding away from the educational budgets.	Yes	This will have a detrimental impact on the education of or children who are the future council tax paying residents we need to protect their right to a quality education	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Junior School & Haydon School	
82	7/1/25 7:31:56	7/1/25 7:41:37	anonymous	No	There is no proven benefit for our children, for our teachers, or for our SEN pupils. What we do risk is: ■Disruption to the tailored care and learning our children currently receive, ■Increased pressure on already-stretched staff, ■And the loss of the nurturing, age-appropriate environments that have helped so many children thrive.  This isn't progress—it's a cost-cutting shortcut disguised as strategy. Our schools work well as they are. Because we will be losing a lot of our amazing teachers that helps special kids that needs the help!	Yes	Cuts in school funding ripple through the community. With existing overcrowded schools and several operating in deficit, the amalgamation may worsen student-teacher ratios and limit places	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant and juniors	This amalgamation offers no tangible benefit to the children, staff, or community. It threatens to: ■Undermine the specialised support structures, especially for SEN children, ■Increase class sizes and reduce individual attention, ■Disrupt a successful school environment that nurtures children in age-appropriate ways, ■Add pressure to staff already delivering excellence across both sites.
83	7/1/25 7:42:19	7/1/25 7:44:45	anonymous	No		Yes	Maybe the want a school that is separate I And not joined together	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield junior school	Just leave our school alone! Mr Downs and Mr Sumner does an amazing job to our school !!!
84	7/1/25 7:49:18	7/1/25 7:55:24	anonymous	No	The budget loss will have an impact on the level of SEND support the school can provide for those children who do not qualify for an EHCP, a loss of support staff will ensure these needs are no longer being able to be met.	Yes	Harefield village is renowned for having a lot of children attending the schools with lower than average ability but do not meet the criteria for an EHCP. - these families need the support which Harefield schools offer.				97% of people on the last count were against this proposal but yet again the council have bulldozed through and have now sent this to the formal stage without any regard for what the residents of the borough want. Maybe those in control need to take a look at the people who voted them in and start listening to the residents. Too many of these so called consultations recently have taken no notice of what the public want.
85	7/1/25 8:01:06	7/1/25 8:07:30	anonymous	No	because the school will simply loose £159K of funding, the educational benefits case does not apply here!	Yes	We are already a small semi rural village, that should be protected	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield Junior School	Local councillors don't seem to be listening to the other side of the story. [name redacted as personal data] was very rude to parents and staff at the meetings and grinned and looked at the clock while staff were in tears! They also talked so rudely to the headteacher!
86	7/1/25 8:14:34	7/1/25 8:22:07	anonymous	No	The consultation papers state the it is "important to note that schools deploy" their SLT in different ways yet continues to make a comparison where 4 class based teachers are added to the costs? This is disingenuous and blatantly an attempt to mislead. The unions have been notified.	Yes	How can the same be done with less money?	A staff member	N/A	N/A	Hillingdon Local Authority has not carried this process out in good faith and with transparency. They have changed what they list as the triggers for amalgamating our schools since the start. They have not followed their own policy and our advice is that a judicial review may well find the process was completed in error.
87	7/1/25 8:22:26	7/1/25 8:29:01	anonymous	No	The LA claim to be "protecting the schools" against falling rolls but the schools have maintained a surplus budget while other schools with far more pupils have posted large deficits? Surely, they should be first on the list for amalgamation? Why us? What is really going on here?	Yes	The school does so much to help our kids, they are remarkable actually. To take money away will make it much more challenging to do what they do.	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield Infant & Junior Schools (both)	The men what came to the school were really rude to the staff and grinned at them and never answered their questions properly. We saw his sign in badge and he was making silly faces.
88	7/1/25 8:31:52	7/1/25 8:34:30	anonymous	No	The infants and junior school has always been 2 separate buildings which works perfectly. Why change it?	Don't know	Not sure how to answer	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infants school	
89	7/1/25 8:35:13	7/1/25 8:37:24	anonymous	No	- the transition for our children from infant to juniors helps with the big change to secondary. Cutting budget will also take away opportunities for our children	Yes	- this will not help as cutting £159k from the budget means more stress on the parents and carers of our children	A parent/carer/guardian	7	Harefield infant school & harefield juniors school	
90	7/1/25 8:37:23	7/1/25 8:39:32	anonymous	No	The schools will receive £159,000 less every year The schools will face increased pressure on already tight budgets The schools will not be able to provide the same level of support to children with Special Educational Needs (SEN) The overall outcome for the children will be negative	Yes	The children that attend the school and future children, the staff and the parents	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harefield infants	I am strongly against this proposal and urge the council to please listen to the parents and staff
91	7/1/25 8:35:10	7/1/25 8:40:58	anonymous	No	Less funding for the school making it more difficult for the school to keep doing what there doing.	Yes	If this happens the school will have less funding. Costs will go up for parents. Change of uniforms less trips and events	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harefield junior and Harefield High school.	
92	7/1/25 8:25:59	7/1/25 8:42:31	anonymous	No	I teach in an out of Borough school and my son attends Harefield infants (as did my other children and myself). I understand the impact that budgets can have on the quality of provision that is available to children. Cutting budgets will have a huge impact on children with SEND, reducing their 1:1 support which will have a knock on effect to the other children as teachers will need to support these children while other children will receive less support in the classroom. Reduced budgets may also result in LA's being shared across classes which will have a detrimental impact on children's education. LA's are a huge part of the school and deliver many interventions. Reducing costs may result in the reduction in staff which in turn will impact children's education. We need to put our children first.	Yes	As above. The children are our future generation. If their education is impacted, this has a detrimental effect on their adult life and job opportunities	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield Infants	As previously stated. Also my children are the fifth generation of my family to attend the infant and junior schools. The amalgamation does not offer any benefits to our village or our children's education. Children's education must come first, they must not be at a disadvantage to other children because of budget cuts.
93	7/1/25 8:48:41	7/1/25 9:00:33	anonymous	No	Being a parent of SEN and ASD child, the deficit in annual budget of school will necessarily affect my child and all the children. With less budget it means school won't be able to afford TAs resulting in decline of children's academics performance.	No	Yes it will negatively impact all the related families whose kids are studying in this school. The emotional and psychological stress this is giving us parents is indescribable.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant school	All the teachers and staff members are running Harefield school amazingly well, there's no triggers so such and all the reasons the council is providing are baseless. Why to ruin such a best educational institute by taking away the funds which is basically the rights of all the kids studvine here????
94	7/1/25 9:12:10	7/1/25 9:12:20	anonymous	No	Well it will be very negative outcome for the pupils as schools will loose half of their funding. Which will turn out as no school trips or fun days at school because of lack of funding.	Yes	As our kids won't receive as much from school.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant and harefield junior.	It's appalling what council trying to pull off here as these schools haven't triggered any of amalgamation key triggers.
95	7/1/25 9:19:20	7/1/25 9:23:46	anonymous	No	The separate school is functional as individuals. Also impact on budget when combined	Yes	Reduced allocated budget to the school will impact on subsidised extra curriculum activities run by the school and also affect struggling households	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield infant and Harefield junior school districts	
96	7/1/25 9:21:09	7/1/25 9:23:53	anonymous	No	The loss of funding will have a massive effect on the children it will leave the school having to make cuts which can only mean the children will have to suffer them	Yes	The school may have to cut back on numbers of pupils and leave us struggling for where to send our children	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harefield Infant harefield junior and willows academy trust	
97	7/1/25 10:17:06	7/1/25 10:19:56	anonymous	No	Teaching quality will be affected as the number of teachers cutting down due to cost.	Yes	Parents will feel reluctant to send their kids to HAREFIELD school.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	HAREFIELD Juniors	We are against the amalgamation
98	7/1/25 10:30:34	7/1/25 10:34:50	anonymous	No	The school will loose out on vital funding. SEN pupils will not get the level of support or care required and it will have a negative outcome on pupils education.	Yes	Our children will not get the level of education to support their aspirations. The school will not thrive on the tighter budgets forcing parents to take their children further to a different school to enable an education they need and deserve.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Junior school	
99	7/1/25 10:40:11	7/1/25 10:41:13	anonymous	No	The schools operate perfectly as they are!	Yes	Less funding for our children for activities ect	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield infant school	
100	7/1/25 11:21:15	7/1/25 11:30:51	anonymous	No	I truly don't believe this change is necessary. The current school system is working well and has proven to be highly effective. The school consistently receives positive feedback and praise for its success, the dedication of its staff, and the supportive environment it provides.  This proposed amalgamation feels like a major disruption to something that is already thriving. Many members of my family have attended this school over the years including myself and I have only the fondest memories. It has always been, and continues to be, a warm, welcoming place that supports both students and families.  My daughter is due to start in September, and I've been deeply upset since hearing about these future plans. Please don't take away such a special place in our community. It would be heartbreaking to see it changed so drastically when there is no clear need.	Yes	This change would disadvantage Hillingdon residents by reducing school choice, putting pressure on already stretched resources. It would disrupt strong community ties, make access harder for families without transport, and cause unnecessary stress especially for children. Local schools like this one are at the heart of our community and should be protected, not dismantled.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant school	It's truly heartbreaking to think that such a special, supportive school could be changed or taken away. For so many families past and present it's more than just a place of learning, it's a part of our lives and our memories. The thought of losing that warm, familiar environment feels like a loss to the entire community. It's devastating, especially for those of us who were excited for our children to start their journey here.
101	7/1/25 11:21:36	7/1/25 11:39:38	anonymous	No	The school will receive £159000 less it receives now . And the schools already struggles the budget it has currently	Yes	The school will not be able to provide the same level of support for the children including those with special educational needs.	A local resident	0	0	I am a childminder and have many children I look after, attending the 2 schools so am, of course interested in their futures and having the continued support for them all and their parents. Please do not amalgamate the schools and reduce the financial support and level of support the schools desperately needs.
102	7/1/25 14:02:39	7/1/25 14:05:03	anonymous	No	it's not broken so doesn't need fixing. Why should a school lose that amount of funding to cater for other schools who can't manage a budget. The schools have had generations of families go through them both. Everyone pretty much know everyone, the kids will miss out if the funding is cut that dramatically	Yes	Yes, it will change a system that's been working fine for years, it doesn't need attention.	A local resident	2	Too young	
103	7/1/25 15:59:24	7/1/25 16:09:55	anonymous	No		Yes	It will of course negatively impact the residents of Hillingdon as the children who will be impacted are for the most part Hillingdon residents, you cannot deny the funding cuts to the school will not put a strain on the school and therefore the parents, plus the new parents of Hillingdon who use the children centre could also be negatively impacted by this change.	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield infants and harefield juniors	The proposal for the amalgamation has tried over and over again to deceive the people who use these schools by telling us how great it will be for our children when that simply cannot and is not true, the SEN will suffer the staff numbers will reduce and education will be a lower standard as a result, funding cuts can only have a negative impact we have as a majority not welcomed any of the proposed plans and I think we should be listened to!
104	7/1/25 16:19:36	7/1/25 16:25:13	anonymous	No	The school will loose too much funding which will affect the support for the children and learning it means the teachers assistant will loose their job, more unemployment ! And finally bigger class with no help for the children with learning difficulties it will make it impossible for children to get a good education	Yes	Bad situation in class for the children no help for the children with learning difficulties it will affect their learning	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield junior	I am a childminder I work closely with children going to the school and I would like to see the children keep getting the education and support they all need
105	7/1/25 17:30:30	7/1/25 17:34:06	anonymous	No	Reasons stated for amalgamation are false. Both schools are already working in this way so there will be no benefit to the children. A loss of £159000 will lead to a worse education for the children of Harefield	Yes	A huge loss of funding will lead to job losses. Less staff in school and less provision for the children of Harefield	Prefer not to say	2	Harefield Junior school	The head of education for Hillingdon borough was rude and smug and I feel couldn't care less about the education of children at this school. There isn't any benefits to the families
106	7/1/25 17:34:42	7/1/25 17:38:05	anonymous	No	Staff already stretched to their limits trying to provide the best for the children. Reducing funding will only detriment the children and staff. The school is already doing the things listed as reasons you have given for amalgamation.	Yes	A loss of funding will mean less staff and less support for children. As one of the only remaining village schools in London there is a unique demographic where families and children lean heavily on the school for support. Without this money we will no longer be able to provide the education and support needed.	A staff member	2		

107	7/1/25 18:45:12	7/1/25 18:50:52	anonymous	No	Be financially viable to do run 2 schools with one budget.	Yes	Village children are our future they need the best start they deserve.	A local resident	2	The reach free	My 3 children had a marvellous experience at Harfield infant and junior school, as did my husband, mother in law and many other family members. I want my grandchildren to have the same experiences. Harfield is a unique village in a London borough, it should be cherished along with its schools.
108	7/1/25 19:10:13	7/1/25 19:14:53	anonymous	No	The schools are operating well and it's great for children to ah e two smaller schools. For some children starting school is tricky and a smaller school provides a safe and caring environment whereby staff know all of the children. Why take away schools of choice to save money. The taking away of £100,000 will have a real impact a detrimental one on the children. It is a system where budgets are low and staff are pushed to the max. Why stream line more. This is an awful idea and should not be allowed to happen. Harfield is a unique village with two small schools where. Do not make cuts to the children.	Yes	SEN already at breaking point. Childrens needs are not being met, taking away more staff is abhorrent.	A local resident	2		
109	7/1/25 19:59:32	7/1/25 20:01:40	anonymous	No	How can you put the outcomes of our young people first when you swipe the support they receive from underneath their feet?	Yes	I wouldn't want to send my kids here, meaning pressure on other schools, or moving out of Hillingdon	Prefer not to say		Prefer not to say	Prefer not to say
110	7/1/25 20:26:59	7/1/25 20:28:38	anonymous	No	Detrimental to SEN children and cuts in funding, how could this possibly be fair.	Yes	Less school places/difficulty finding appropriate support for children with SEN needs.	A local resident	1	Child is not of school age yet.	
111	7/1/25 20:48:13	7/1/25 20:55:07	anonymous	No	How disgusting to amalgamate these two schools and allow hundreds of children to lose hundreds of thousands of pounds in doing so. Schools are already facing huge budget setbacks and amalgamating these two schools will cause extra workload, not just to teachers by all support staff, cause resources to become even more sparse than they already are and staffs mental and physical wellbeing will be in tatters! The council clearly have no idea of what schools are like or what battles they face on a daily basis.	Yes	Surely with the merger, staff will ultimately be made redundant? So therefore less staff to support the children who need it the most. Our 1:1s are already no longer 1:1 and this will only cause more stress on staffing needs and pupil support.	A local resident	2		My godchildren attend both these schools, with the merger they will suffer and as a result their education will be a limited version of what it could be. The council will be affecting the present and the future of all these children.
112	7/1/25 21:31:45	7/1/25 21:42:56	anonymous	No	By cutting the budget, the children will suffer, they will not get the learning and resources that they need. Budgets are hard enough now, let alone losing thousands of pounds....how will the schools function to their best ability in giving children an outstanding start in their educational journey.	Yes	Yes, the children of harfield's education will suffer. They should not be amalgamated.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Northwood School	You tried this years ago, and it was decided that it would not work, why would it work now, nothing has changed for the better. I cannot see any benefit or advantage for either school.
113	7/2/25 9:42:34	7/2/25 9:50:51	anonymous	No	The only reason to do this is to cut the school budget as I believe the school have already made lots of financial cuts to see the school run efficiently and to have the money to invest in the education of our children.	Yes	Children's education is an investment in the future this should be completely off the table as a money grab. I will reluctantly accept savings have to be made at the council but this is short sighted there over savings to be had at the council that would be far less impact full.	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harfield infant and junior	Please do not go ahead with this listen to the residents you work for. As I said if the school was in a deficit it would be hard to argue them not making savings but the school has already achieved this and is running well and have really looked after my children and have fantastic teachers that are providing a great education you must not cut the schools budget as you know this will directly impact the children. Make the right choice because you will be judged for the wrong one leaving a stain on your career.
114	7/2/25 9:57:36	7/2/25 10:04:11	anonymous	No	The massive funding the schools will lose will have a detrimental effect on the children I With loss of specialist pe teachers music teachers and also Teaching assistants or Teachers themselves this can not happen	Yes	Less staff, less resources, less outside learning ie school trips , also the Ehcp children will struggle more than they already do!!	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harfield infants & harfield juniors	The proposals are not justified I went to the schools in the 80's-90's what was then 2 classes per yr to
115	7/2/25 12:32:21	7/2/25 12:34:29	anonymous	No	A reduction in funding will impede the learning of the children	Yes	It will mean the school are no longer able to provide the same standards of teaching to the children, larger class sizes, less staff.	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harfield Infant and Harfield junior	
116	7/2/25 14:29:36	7/2/25 14:34:58	anonymous	No	This will reduce the funding, which will cause budget deficit for the school, this in turn will affect the teaching and support the children of Harfield school receive.	Yes	It will disadvantage the children who attend this school and their families I.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Infant School	We rage you as concern parents who worry about their children not to go ahead with the amalgamation plans and listen to our concerns.
117	2/7/25 15:38:25	2/7/25 15:40:11	anonymous	No	The schools already work very closely together and so none of the benefits in the proposal will apply.	Yes	Children of the residents of Harfield will have a poorer education due to the reduced funding.				
118	2/7/25 20:17:45	2/7/25 20:25:19	anonymous	No	Any reduction in funding will have a detrimental impact on the children.	Yes	The quality of educational services for residents children will be impacted by these changes to infrastructure and funding.	Other	0	N/A	
119	2/7/25 20:28:21	2/7/25 20:32:03	anonymous	No	In the consultation this evening, he clearly stated that there may need to be a reduction in TAs. Children need the additional support in the classroom, it is not benefiting them at all!	No	Unless they are parents of children in the school I don't see how it impacts them	A parent/carer/guardian	2		You are not taking in the views and opinions of the parents and staff. We have already responded with our concerns of the school Losing substantial funding yet you're still going ahead regardless. Why are the children not being put at the forefront of these decisions!
120	2/7/25 20:30:04	2/7/25 20:40:06	anonymous	No	I believe this is another cost cutting exercise by the council. Education defines our lives and the better opportunities the children in the village are given then surely this benefits our community.	Yes	Because once again the end user loses out because our taxes are being squandered on less meaningful projects.	A local resident	None	None	Why has Hillingdon council all of a sudden run into this deficit, maybe streamlining the upper earners in the council would be a better option than messing with children's lives, or better still a performance related income as most of these high earners would be paying us money back .
121	2/7/25 20:38:24	2/7/25 20:41:36	anonymous	No	The quality of education of the children will suffer. Being able to retain staff and the impact on staff morale will be impacted the proposal.	Yes	It's essentially a cost cutting exercise so will in no way benefit anyone apart from those looking to reduce costs		2		
122	2/7/25 21:49:56	2/7/25 22:31:06	anonymous	Yes		No	I answered no	A local resident	0		
123	3/7/25 5:12:42	3/7/25 5:13:59	anonymous	No	Less funding for the school	Yes	Because there will be less funding for children	A local resident	2	Prefer not to say	
124	3/7/25 7:30:32	3/7/25 7:31:14	anonymous	No	The schools will receive £100,000 less every year The schools will face increased pressure on already tight budgets The schools will not be able to provide the same level of support to children with Special Educational Needs (SEN) The overall outcome for the children will be negative	Yes	The schools will receive £100,000 less every year The schools will face increased pressure on already tight budgets The schools will not be able to provide the same level of support to children with Special Educational Needs (SEN) The overall outcome for the children will be negative.	Other	2		
125	3/7/25 10:28:17	3/7/25 12:11:12	anonymous	No	Cutting funding in half will impact the school negatively, and the fact that the council are unwilling to acknowledge this is quite fairly offensive. Teachers will see their jobs threatened which will mean children who need extra assistance won't be supported enough, and the students who are doing well won't be academically challenged because the teachers that remain will be so stretched.  The school already runs a tight budget, cutting a further £159,000 will be catastrophic. Only one of the triggers that you state apply (a minor piece of admin streamlining), other schools the borough have set off more triggers and are in the red with their budgets which begs the question - why pick on our small little village?  The schools already have an executive head and deputy across both schools, and four of the seven members of the leadership team are classroom teachers too with additional responsibilities. The school have already streamlined costs while maximising resources, so none of the economies that the council suggests as benefits of amalgamation would apply, but it doesn't feel like that is being taken into account. Have the council actually gone into the nitty gritty of looking at how the budgets are being run? If anything, Mr Sumner, Mr Towns and their staff should be a shining example to other schools in the borough on how to effectively run a school, but instead you are looking to punish them by halving the budget. The amalgamation will also incur extra unnecessary charges like changing signage and uniforms and branding which isn't documented anywhere.  Also, to state that there will be no change to pupils and staff with this huge cut in funding is the biggest lie the council has made. If Hillingdon council had its budget slashed proportionally, can you confidently say that there would be no change to how it's being run, and that it wouldn't impact the residents?  The way you have run this consultation is appalling. 97% disagreed with the proposal in the first round, but the council team who is running it make it feel like the voices of the community don't matter. When questioned about where the £19k would eventually go, they claimed they didn't know, or made up some nonsensical response about it going back to Department of Education which doesn't give us any clear indication of what it being done with the money that will give our children the best possible start in their futures.	Yes	Our children will be at a disadvantage because their education will be impacted negatively.  Teachers will be at a disadvantage, either because they'll lose their jobs or have to do more than they already do.  Parents will be at a disadvantage because their children will fall behind in their education as a result of not having enough teaching staff.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Infants & Harfield Juniors	This consultation has been appalling, and has filled me with so much skepticism about the council's true intentions.  In one of the first school meetings about the amalgamation, one of the council members stormed out disgracefully when questioned by parents about why it was happening.  The first face-to-face that was due to take place in Harfield library during the initial consultation process was cancelled at short notice with no reason why, and wasn't ever rescheduled.  And now, after 97% of people rejecting the amalgamation plans, I still get the impression that the council will go ahead with it despite overwhelming disagreement. After attending a face-to-face meeting with two people from the council on 28th June in the junior school hall, I was left horrified by the way they ran the session. They were eye-rolling and talking down to people who were voicing their very reasonable concerns, dodging questions and actually contradicting themselves with straight up lies. To be quite honest, I would like for them to go through a disciplinary process because not only were they not doing their jobs properly as representatives of the education department (surely they'd be the middlemen and neutral?), they were incredibly offensive and rude to the people they are supposedly serving.  Additionally, the online consultation that was due to take place on 2nd July had a faulty link meaning parents, carers and residents weren't able to have their say. Just another example to illustrate the council's untrustworthy track record.  I'm aware of reports stating the Hillingdon council is hugely in debt and on the brink of bankruptcy, and the way in which you've conducted yourselves during this shows a sense of desperation without regard for the children whose futures will be hugely impacted. To be honest, from what I've seen I can't help but feel this is a cost-cutting exercise to get yourselves out of the red. I hope that you take an honest, impartial view going forward and do what is best for the schools and that's to not have their funding cut.
126	3/7/25 16:35:55	3/7/25 16:38:18	anonymous	No	This proposal will have a negative effect on the children	Yes	Children are our future and have the right to a decent education	A local resident	No	NA	
127	4/7/25 6:13:09	4/7/25 6:21:00	anonymous	No	Loss of funding, no benefits, reduced outcomes for children	Yes	Less staff to support children. The policy lists a number of misleading things such as the benefits of amalgamation, same staff. During the meetings with the head of education he couldn't give an answer when asked why those things that the school are already doing were listed as benefits. He also stated that TAs are outdated and therefore hinting at reducing them to save money, which goes against the policy that says no staff changes. SEN pupils who do not have additional funding will have to be supported with a reduced budget.	Prefer not to say			
128	6/7/25 13:13:33	6/7/25 13:15:15	anonymous	No	The educational benefits outlined in the proposal do not apply as the schools already work closely together.	Yes					
129	6/7/25 13:19:41	6/7/25 13:22:46	anonymous	No	It will mean £159,000 of funding being taken away.	Yes	Harfield children will have to be educated with less funding than other infant and junior schools in Hillingdon.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harfield Infants	One of the gentlemen from the council that attended the face to face session was extremely rude.
130	6/7/25 13:26:32	6/7/25 13:31:42	anonymous	No	The proposal contains lies, such as there will be no change to staff. With a reduced budget, reduced staffing levels are inevitable.	Yes	Harfield children will have to be educated with less money.				
131	6/7/25 21:51:16	6/7/25 22:11:08	anonymous	No	This decision will not benefit the children. They'll be worse off as a result. £160k is alot of money to lose, staff will be let go which will result in the children not having the support they need. Harfield school is a fantastic school. They offer so much to the children with regular educational school trips, swimming lessons etc, the teaching assistants who offer support for the SEN children who do not have their own EHP will be cut, resulting in those children, who need the extra support to lose out. Both headmasters float between both schools, the head of years are working teachers, merging the schools together has no benefits to the school, only to the people who want to justify this decision by taking £160k from the children. The two schools even wear the same uniform. The people proposing this merging of the two schools are not being honest with the facts or figures. It's a smokescreen. The figures given have been argued against, 97% of people have voted against this decision, and still it moves to the next stage. I am bitterly disappointed, as is everyone I speak to with Hillingdon Councils lack of care for its residents. Two parents have been offered to take part online to listen to the council give their reasons for this, twice we've been unable to join. This isn't fair on the children, the teachers who work tirelessly for the children and the parents who have voted against this and have given their reasons as to why. Hillingdon Council need to start listening to their residents, look elsewhere to take money from, not from the schools!!!!	Yes	As above.	A parent/carer/guardian			
132	9/7/25 22:28:49	9/7/25 22:34:55	anonymous	No	I struggle to see what value this proposal is bringing. The perceived benefits are non-existent. There have been ridiculous benefits of same uniform same senior mgmt etc al of which are in place. Unless advised otherwise, it is clear that this is a budget saving exercise which will actually detriment the two schools.	Yes	There will be a clear and obvious budget cut. This will disadvantage the pupils of both schools ad the funding will be spread.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harfield Junior	I dislike tick box exercises I prefer straightforward honest answers to very simple questions. Please make clear what benefits this proposed amalgamation will have! Please confirm categorically that budgets will be halved as a result. Be honest.
133	10/7/25 10:56:04	10/7/25 10:57:06	anonymous	No	Loss of funding to the school and kids.	Yes	Less funding means less opportunity for the children	A parent/carer/guardian			
134	10/7/25 10:57:11	10/7/25 10:58:19	anonymous	No	Schools already work together closely and significant loss of budget £190000 will impact the children negatively	Yes	Children will be at a disadvantage				

135	10/7/25 11:02:07	10/7/25 11:04:22	anonymous	No	Because the school will lose funding and it has no educational benefit to the children therefore does not seem necessary.	Yes	Because it will impact any children attending and wanting to attend and impact any decisions to attend the school and it will lower the school reputation whereby it has a good reputation now	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Junior and Harfield School	
136	10/7/25 11:04:56	10/7/25 11:06:18	anonymous	No	reduction in funding with no educational benefits	Yes	Children will have poor education and increase poverty	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harfield Junior school	
137	10/7/25 11:04:43	10/7/25 11:06:49	anonymous	No	The huge loss in funding had absolutely no benefit to my children's education who currently attend the school	Yes	It has no benefit to the children who attend the school. In fact it will have a solely negative impact on their education	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Infant and Harfield Junior school	
138	10/7/25 11:05:26	10/7/25 11:06:58	anonymous	No	Reduction in funding for no benefit	Yes	Less funds going to the school, children's education will suffer.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Junior	
139	10/7/25 11:13:29	10/7/25 11:20:10	anonymous	No	Cutting funding will have a massive effect on all the children especially those with SEN needs without an EHCP the schools work so closely with each other and that really helps with the children's needs and continuity if you do this amalgamation the children and all those about to start school will greatly suffer	Yes	The children will not have the amazing teaching they get now as there will be job cuts which will really limit how the schools can best teach our children	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harfield Juniors	My son has autism and has an EHCP and right through nursery to juniors his transitions have been amazing the teachers and TA's have been amazing as well as the head teachers in giving me the support my son has needed. He was able to complete for his school in a sporting competition for children with SEN and that was great for his confidence and feeling part of something if this funding gets taken away the school won't be able to offer things like this and that will be devastating for the children in their development
140	10/7/25 11:17:13	10/7/25 11:22:53	anonymous	No	It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.	Yes	It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Infant School and Harfield Junior School	It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.
141	10/7/25 11:23:07	10/7/25 11:23:58	anonymous	No	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.	Yes	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Infant School and Harfield Junior School	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.
142	10/7/25 11:24:05	10/7/25 11:24:53	anonymous	No	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.	Yes	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Infant School and Harfield Junior School	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.
143	10/7/25 11:25:01	10/7/25 11:25:45	anonymous	No	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.	Yes	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Infant School and Harfield Junior School	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.
144	10/7/25 11:25:51	10/7/25 11:26:33	anonymous	No	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.	Yes	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Infant school and Harfield Junior School	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.
145	10/7/25 11:26:39	10/7/25 11:27:20	anonymous	No	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.	Yes	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Infant School and Harfield Junior School	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.
146	10/7/25 11:25:30	10/7/25 11:27:22	anonymous	No	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts. Reduction in funding with no educational benefits	Yes	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts. The children will be affected as the quality of education will decline	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield both junior and infant	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children will be directly impacted by the cuts.
147	10/7/25 11:27:26	10/7/25 11:29:04	anonymous	No	It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.	Yes	It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Infant School and Harfield Junior school	It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.
148	10/7/25 11:28:23	10/7/25 11:29:49	anonymous	No	Significant reduction in funding	Yes	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children attend these schools and they will be directly impacted by the cuts.				It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children attend these schools and they will be directly impacted by the cuts.
149	10/7/25 11:29:09	10/7/25 11:29:57	anonymous	No	It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.	Yes	It will disadvantage Harfield children and families who will have to be educated with £159K less than the other infant and junior schools in the borough.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Infant School and Harfield Junior School	It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.  There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.
150	10/7/25 11:30:03	10/7/25 11:30:48	anonymous	No	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children attend these schools and they will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.	Yes	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children attend these schools and they will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Infant School and Harfield Junior School	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children attend these schools and they will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will significantly effect funding by reducing it by 159,000.00 and therefore impacting staffing which will result in no support for vulnerable children.
151	10/7/25 11:30:53	10/7/25 11:31:51	anonymous	No	There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.  It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children attend these schools and they will be directly impacted by the cuts.	Yes	There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.  It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children attend these schools and they will be directly impacted by the cuts.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Infant school and Harfield Junior School	There are no educational benefits because the infant and junior schools work extremely close together, where there is a smooth transition from infant school to junior school. It would therefore only be detrimental to the school.  It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children attend these schools and they will be directly impacted by the cuts.
152	10/7/25 11:30:17	10/7/25 11:33:47	anonymous	No	The reduction in funding with no educational benefits will affect the children.	Yes	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children attend these schools and they will be directly impacted by the cuts. It will disadvantage the children that live in Hillingsdon! The school won't have the funding that it does now as two separate schools therefore impacting on the children's education and future.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Infant and Junior schools	It will disadvantage Harfield families whose children attend these schools and they will be directly impacted by the cuts.
153	10/7/25 11:08:37	10/7/25 11:37:14	anonymous	No	The huge loss of funding will have a negative impact on the education of the children.	Yes	The school will not be able to provide all the provisions they currently do. Therefore many families will have to take their children to another school further away with better provisions.  Many staff will lose their jobs as funding will be greatly reduced.  There will be a lack of support for the most vulnerable children.  It will have such a negative impact on the community.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Junior	The amalgamation will have no benefit to the school as it is currently working extremely well already.

154	10/7/25 11:34:18	10/7/25 11:40:21	anonymous	No	It will be a disadvantage for the students and staff and the Herefield community if funding is cut.	Yes	Many families depend on help from the school such as pupil premium	A parent/care/guardian	2	Herefield junior school	
155	10/7/25 11:42:15	10/7/25 11:44:13	anonymous	No	This is racism	Yes	Why we should suffer	A parent/care/guardian	3	Herefield Junior School	I already said: shame to council
156	10/7/25 11:47:15	10/7/25 11:48:45	anonymous	No	Budget reduction.	Yes	Herefield children will have a poorer education with a smaller budget.	A parent/care/guardian	1	Herefield Junior School	A Change.org petition against the merger has already gathered 424 signatures, highlighting widespread local resistance. The petition states: "If this merger goes ahead it will mean a loss of £160,000 in funding... ultimately it will mean the children's education will suffer."
157	10/7/25 11:26:48	10/7/25 11:49:40	anonymous	No	Several mergers have resulted in substantial funding loss - e.g. lost £159k/year plus modernization grants Hillingdon's proposal risked £160k in annual funding, raising serious concerns from support staff and unions. These cuts typically lead to fewer support staff, impacting pupil care, SEN provision, and increased teacher workloads. While amalgamation promises continuity and savings, evidence from schools shows it often leads to leadership turmoil, funding cuts (over £150 k/year), and lowered standards. We already operate a successful federation, share governance, and maintain smooth transitions—so formal merger offers little extra. We're also worried about losing in-stage expertise, damaging staff morale, and creating new logistical challenges, especially across two sites. We urge the council to provide a full breakdown of financial, staffing and site impacts—and consider improving the current model rather than pushing through a high-risk amalgamation.	Yes	The merger of Herefield Infant and Junior schools is proposed to result in a £250,000-£400,000 annual funding cut, as flagged by the GMB union, staff, and parents. That drop in funding will likely translate into fewer staff, reduced services (like SEN support), and potentially larger class sizes—all raising concerns about the quality of education for local pupils.  - Risk of Job Losses for Staff  That's not just an economic risk—it impacts classroom support levels, pupil wellbeing, and continuity of care for vulnerable students.  Impact on Children's Education  Parents and the school governing body argue there's "no benefit" to the amalgamation—they already operate as a federation, and the proposal adds bureaucracy without teaching value.  Teachers and unions fear that cuts in staffing and resources would negatively affect children's academic progress and pastoral support.  Both schools are already under a federated arrangement (shared governors, leadership, and back-office staff), offering many benefits of an amalgamation while keeping budgets separate. Critics argue the federal merger doesn't yield additional savings—but does reduce funding.  Across Hillingdon, several schools already face budget deficits, putting extra pressure on stretched resources and limited funding. Removing funding from one school may push it into deeper deficit, affecting its long-term viability and the education of local children.  Who's likely to lose out? Local pupils, especially those needing extra support. Support staff (teaching assistants, administrative workers). Parents, who may see diminished school quality and structures. Broader community, if cuts trigger job losses or hamper school performance.	A parent/care/guardian	1	Herefield Junior School	The GMB union has warned the council has "failed to explain how this merger would benefit either school," calling it "damaging" and saying "critical funding will be slashed."  The GMB highlights that this "will cost jobs," and that classrooms already operate "with resources cut to the bone." - Staff loss undermines the support network for students, especially those needing additional help.  Both schools are already federated, sharing governors and leadership, with no evidence that formal amalgamation would improve outcomes - If leadership is already shared, the re-structuring introduces complexity without added value.  Hillingdon Council has a history of cutting essential services—such as closing specialist provisions for disabled children—despite community backlash. - This decision seems in tune with a wider pattern of reducing vital provisions despite public opposition.  I am asking the council to publish a detailed breakdown showing what the merger changes and why. No convincing educational improvements have been provided—it's just a cut in disguise. The closure of Caterford's specialist unit in 2024, despite 96% public opposition, shows that Hillingdon Council disregards community input!
158	10/7/25 11:47:28	10/7/25 11:51:31	anonymous	No	the schools already work closely together and therefore already benefit from the proposed benefits of amalgamation. As such there are no new benefits, simply less money available for the school. This is simply a budget cut being called something else and I strongly disagree	Yes	with such a massive budget cut, children will suffer as a result, particularly those with additional needs	A parent/care/guardian	2	herefield junior school and The Reach Frenchschool	
159	10/7/25 12:03:23	10/7/25 12:05:36	anonymous	No	Reduction in funding with no educational benefit	Yes	Our children will experience a disadvantage to the reduced funding impacting their education	A parent/care/guardian	2	Herefield Infant School / Hedgewood Special Needs Primary School	There is no benefit to the school or education if the children with the proposed amalgamation
160	10/7/25 12:00:32	10/7/25 12:06:52	anonymous	No	Is not a decision that is made with the children's best interests at heart, the village needs the school it has and not a cheapened watered down version to cut costs.	Yes	The children of the residents of Hillingdon will suffer.	A parent/care/guardian	3	Herefield Infant and Junior school.	100% should not happen, it benefits no one, it's purely a decision to cut costs, attacking children's education to do this is not acceptable. The council should look to other areas where there is wastage, leave the kids alone.
161	10/7/25 12:07:28	10/7/25 12:15:03	anonymous	Yes		Yes	Yes	A parent/care/guardian	1	Herefield infant and children school	
162	10/7/25 12:14:44	10/7/25 12:19:31	anonymous	No	No educational benefits p	Yes	Losing funding in schools which will take away the level of support child with receive especially those with SEN	A parent/care/guardian	4	Herefield	
163	10/7/25 12:05:52	10/7/25 12:16:04	anonymous	No	It will result in a reduction in overall funding for the school. This can only result in reducing the quality of education for my children.	Yes	My children go to this school	A parent/care/guardian	2	Herefield Infant x1 and Herefield Junior x1	
164	10/7/25 12:13:57	10/7/25 12:16:21	anonymous	No	Cutting funding, will have a negative impact on child's learning	No	No	A parent/care/guardian	N/A	Herefield	
165	10/7/25 12:14:24	10/7/25 12:16:26	anonymous	No	Disadvantage to the children	Yes	It will effect everyone who attends the school	A parent/care/guardian	3	Herefield Infant school	
166	10/7/25 12:16:07	10/7/25 12:17:11	anonymous	No	Because of an reduction in funding for vital things within the school!!	Yes	School system will not be the same	A parent/care/guardian	2	Herefield infant and junior	
167	10/7/25 12:17:27	10/7/25 12:18:42	anonymous	No	The amalgamation will result in a reduction in funding with no educational benefits.	Yes	Children will suffer the most if they're insufficient funding to cover TA fees and further support	A parent/care/guardian	1	Herefield infant and junior	
168	10/7/25 12:06:08	10/7/25 12:20:56	anonymous	No	I have attended every face to face meeting within herefield infant school and myself and others still fail to understand what the reasoning behind this is other than to save money. We were repeatedly led to during the last meeting (chaired by [name redacted as personal data] and I believe [name redacted as personal data]) We were told that this "wasted our money it was tax payers money!" This has a huge affect on our children's future's and we are NOT being listened to at all. It's clear the decision has already been made and your colleague made that clear when he was laughing when I asked him this question.	Yes	The majority of people in our village have children or family who attend the school. If 96% of people object to this proposal, how can it still be overridden and pushed through?	A parent/care/guardian	2	Herefield infant and Herefield nursery	We are continually ignored and lied to. The past 2 teams links haven't worked, which again your colleagues lied about in the face to face meeting. This has a huge effect on our children's education. The school haven't breached anything, so we can't understand why this is being allowed to go ahead (other than to save money, which there needs to be honesty and transparency about) the school has always been a 2 form entry, so for [name redacted as personal data] to lie and say we've triggered low form entries is so wrong! They couldn't even tell us the exact number of students the school currently has!
169	10/7/25 12:03:35	10/7/25 12:27:54	anonymous	No	This plan brings the current education quality down. Childhood stages are part of personal development throughout life cycle. I believe you are damaging that natural growth cycle by mixing both age groups.	Yes	Every resident in a small village is part of the family and directly or indirectly are linked to the school	A parent/care/guardian	1	Herefield Infant School	Please be noted that cutting resources does not necessarily increase the quality, but mostly damages the services.
170	10/7/25 12:29:14	10/7/25 12:31:01	anonymous	No	reduction in funding with no educational benefits	Yes	Less funding so poorer education	A parent/care/guardian	3	Herefield infants	
171	10/7/25 12:43:52	10/7/25 12:46:43	anonymous	No	To give the children better education attention and care they need better to be separate	Yes	Not feeling adequate to care for the children they have	A parent/care/guardian	4	Herefield infant Herefield junior the Herefield	
172	10/7/25 12:54:16	10/7/25 12:56:26	anonymous	No	It is not needed, it will be a loss to both schools and pointless.	Yes	There is no benefit to any hillingdon residents	A parent/care/guardian	2	Herefield	Continuation to question 2 as I was unable to fit it all into that box
173	10/7/25 2:02:03	10/7/25 13:10:55	anonymous	No	As a parent to children who attend the Herefield Infant School, I am very against this proposal for a number of reasons of which I will go into further below. The triggers stated and how amalgamating will do nothing to alleviate their reported issues. The loss of £250,000 in funding when amalgamating from two schools to one, the impact of that on staffing levels and the children's education and wellbeing. The amalgamation triggers you have quoted that means Herefield Infant and Herefield Junior schools "governing bodies should consider amalgamating" are: a) Total pupil numbers in either school are 254 or more below the Published Admission Number (PAN). b) One or both schools have PANs or are recruiting at below two forms of entry - making the individual schools relatively small and at a risk of becoming unviable within the Hillingdon funding context. However, you then go on to say: "The both case in Hillingdon has been steadily declining since 2016, which has impacted primary aged pupil numbers across the Borough. Your own words have stated the reason why the two triggers have been met by the two schools. You can not get students in when there are not enough at that age to fill all the schools in the Borough. Your proposal also states that if the amalgamation goes ahead the "Total published pupil number of 427" will still stand. But teachers in your proposal do you state how you are going to address the actual issue of the reduction in children attending. Therefore this "problem" you have raised will remain post amalgamation. The councils funds are better spent on reaching out to nurseries and childrensiders within the Borough and showcasing the two schools to encourage more uptake. Furthermore, the proposal states the figures for 2025 were taken from the Jan-25 census, however, when looking on the gov website on the updated figures it actually states that Herefield Infant school has 217 pupils and not the 254 you have stated and that Herefield Junior School has 217 pupils, not the 253 you have stated. Both of these pages on the Gov website have a date of last change/confirmed being 20th June 2025. Consequently, meaning that neither school falls into the two triggers you have stated above. Moreover, your proposal also states: "The London Borough of Hillingdon will require Governing Bodies of all separate Infant and Junior schools to consider amalgamating their two linked schools when one or more of the 'trigger circumstances' occur, unless there are compelling and overriding reasons". So please explain to us why the federate Governing body of these two schools are not the ones that get to decide. Your proposal does not provide any compelling or overriding reasons why the Council is taking over and going against the wishes of the Governing Body and the Staff and Parents of the two schools. No legal reason to do this and a massive financial impact to the school resulting in less support for our students.  The loss of £250,000 in funding when amalgamating from two schools to one is a MASSIVE impact that is not clearly understood by the Council or the representatives. Losing this amount of money will mean the following: - Loss of food, music, Physical education - this is because there will be additional costs for equipment, trips, specialist teachers, something that won't be achievable with half a budget. - Loss of Teachers and Learning Support Assistants (LSAs) - this will mean instead of two teachers or one teacher and one LSA in each classroom that in most cases there will now just be one teacher. Therefore, children that are excelling will be expected to be self learners or will not be given the same level of attention as other students. Moreover, children who are struggling or who have additional needs will not get the full attention or learning they require.	A parent/care/guardian	2	Herefield Infants	- It will also mean that the loss of teaching/LSA staff will mean that those who need extra care or attention will now have to struggle to cope within a full class situation as it won't be possible to take them out to smaller classes or groups as there won't be any teachers available. - In the face-to-face at Herefield Junior's with the Council representatives we were also told that the other elements of income such as DCPs and those with additional learning needs could be used as a way to subsidise the reduction in funding. However, this money is meant to be allocated to that individual child to support their needs and their development, therefore using the approach that the representatives suggested would be taking that money away from the children who need it most.  The loss of funding will also have a direct negative impact on the Children's education and wellbeing, because trips will have to be cancelled or the onerosus of payment for these trips be put onto the parents instead. This will have a direct impact to wellbeing because children won't fully understand why they aren't allowed to do a certain trip but the year before them was. Or individual children may be left feeling as though they are "tougher" because their parents can't afford to send them on these trips or events anymore, this is itself can lead to bullying for being "poor" from other students and singles out underpaid or struggling families. All of which has a negative impact on mental health which can in turn lead to physical health problems and therefore leading to further strain on the NHS and private medical services.  All the advantages you have listed for the amalgamation are already in place across the two schools (apart from the need to apply for a junior school place - which is not an overhead for the schools but for the education commissioner and parents, which of whom are happy to keep this approach if it means the schools are NOT amalgamated).		
174	10/7/25 13:08:56	10/7/25 13:11:05	anonymous	No	No legal reason to do this and a massive financial impact to the school resulting in less support for our students.	Yes	Because as a direct result of financial cuts children will get less support	A parent/care/guardian	2	Herefield infant and Herefield Junior	Absolutely the wrong thing to do
175	10/7/25 13:25:33	10/7/25 13:26:58	anonymous	No	It will disadvantage the children. It will be them that suffer with their education and social skills by doing this.	Yes	It will disadvantage the children.	A parent/care/guardian	1	Herefield Junior School	I am very disappointed that this proposal has moved forward to the a formal stage, given that the pre-consultation received a 97% No response rate. It is becoming clear that this is not a democracy led in fact a decision that has already been made, that the council is now just pushing through the process as a way to cover their backs, despite the majority of responses refusing and rejecting this amalgamation idea.



176	10/7/25 13:45:23	10/7/25 13:50:09	anonymous	No	Children need more space. We can't take what they are getting already. They should be getting more but taking something from what they already have is unfair to the children.	Yes	Having separate school for younger children help with their brain development.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield Junior School	Lets not take what children have already. They need that space for healthy growth and development to facilitate physical activity, exploration and social interaction, all of which are crucial for their cognitive, emotional, and social well-being.	
177	10/7/25 13:48:16	10/7/25 13:50:15	anonymous	No	reduction in funding with no educational benefits	Yes	Loss of funding will affects the children there now and in years to come	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infants		
178	10/7/25 13:50:29	10/7/25 13:54:43	anonymous	No	The children will suffer massively with cuts to their budget! people may have to loose their jobs. this could result in reducing the number of TA's which are an extremely important part to the school!	Yes	It will disadvantage not only the children but some people that work at the schools. The budget has and will always be used to benefit the children, why take that away? There are only disadvantages to this proposal.	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield Infant School		
179	10/7/25 14:02:10	10/7/25 14:03:49	anonymous	No	Funding loss	Yes	Parents will have to fund a lot more	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Infant School		
180	10/7/25 14:21:57	10/7/25 14:26:06	anonymous	No	Reduction in funding, and 0 educational benefit for children attending the school and those who will attend in the future.	Yes	I believe lack of funding will have a negative impact on children residing in Hillington Particularly Harefield as lack of funding will equal lack of education for school students.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield infant school	I think it would be a shame to change the current set up that works so well and has given Harefield infant and junior schools an advantage in being most parents first choice for their children.	
181	10/7/25 14:13:35	10/7/25 14:29:29	anonymous	No	There has been no benefit to either school outlined in the proposal. It is just a way of cutting funding.	Yes	I don't know how cutting funding wouldnt disadvantage all the children that go to that school	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield infants		
182	10/7/25 15:35:51	10/7/25 15:38:53	anonymous	No	No Educational Benefits	Yes	The quality of education will be affected as the number of teachers will be cut down, due to funding defect.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	HAREFIELD Juniors	No benefits to pupils, the educational standards will be affected badly due to funding cuts.	
183	10/7/25 16:33:22	10/7/25 16:35:10	anonymous	No	No educational benefits, as we already work closely together	Yes	It will disadvantage Harefield children and families who will have to be educated with £159K less than the other infant and Junior schools in the borough.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Infant and Junior schools		
184	10/7/25 17:42:54	10/7/25 17:44:07	anonymous	No	Significant reduction in funding	Yes	It will disadvantage Harefield children and families who will have to be educated with £159K less than the other infant and Junior schools in the borough.	A staff member				
185	10/7/25 18:42:14	10/7/25 18:46:04	anonymous	No	The loss of funding is huge, this will impact the children at the schools education hugely. School budgets are already stretched, this will only make things worse.	Yes	The children & parents will not receive the level of education & support they receive now.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield	This proposal absolutely can not go ahead it will negatively impact all of the children across both schools	
186	10/7/25 18:53:22	10/7/25 18:55:02	anonymous	No	No educational benefits	Yes	No educational benefits	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield Junior School and the Harefield school		
187	10/7/25 18:51:14	10/7/25 18:57:52	anonymous	No	The loss of £159,000 will have a negative impact on both schools, staff will lose their jobs, children will not receive the level of education & have the experiences they have now. Teachers & Teaching Assistants already spend their own money on buying resources for their lessons or items for the classroom as our budgets are already so stretched. There is no benefit to this amalgamation to either of our schools, we already work closely together.	Yes	The children at our school will be receiving significantly less money than any other school in the borough.	A staff member	2	Harefield School, Bishop Ramsay School	Our lovely school can not sustain this kind of loss to our budget, the children of our school are going to be the ones that are most affected by this & they deserve more not less.	
188	10/7/25 20:02:41	10/7/25 20:06:58	anonymous	No	159k less funding which will mean less opportunity's for children at the school. This will directly impact staffing, well-being and children's futures.	Yes	The school will not have the same amount of money as other schools in the borough meaning that it won't run as efficiently. This will directly impact children and families in the borough.	A staff member	1	Harefield Junior School	I feel that the amalgamation will have a detrimental effect on not only the school but the whole village. There is a high level of sen need, safeguarding, wellbeing and support that it needed for children and families. This cannot be provided with £159k less money.	
189	10/7/25 21:17:26	10/7/25 21:22:04	anonymous	No	It will reduce funding and have a detrimental affect on the children.	Yes	Less funding, greater stress on the teachers, poorer education for the children	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield Infants	97% of responses said no to the proposal, you sent out a link for the consultation period that didn't work. You constantly cancel meetings and consultations, having no regard to the residents or children of the schools involved.	
190	10/7/25 22:28:12	10/7/25 22:34:39	anonymous	No	I think it's an out rage to take the schools £159k budget and to push all students into one building. There is no thoughts on the children staff I do believe this will have a detrimental effect on our children's education and wellbeing.	Yes	Yes as the school has struggled for years to get us to the very good ofstead also the school have made major cuts with staff and this will happen again.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield	Please think of the welfare of our children and don't spoil our lovely village school with lots of fields and a lovely community that we are all very much apart of.	
191	10/7/25 22:49:23	10/7/25 22:57:15	anonymous	No	There is going to be a reduction in funding with no educational benefits. This is going to affect the educational support to our children with special needs. For instance I have got a son with speech and language difficulties so he needs extra support which need a lot of funding.	Yes	Most teachers is are all local and from the Harefield community. The educational support may not be adequate to meet their children's needs due to insufficient funding.	A parent/carer/guardian		2		
192	11/7/25 10:29:12	11/7/25 10:32:56	anonymous	No	How well it be feasible to have 2 schools but only one lot of funding, what will happen to the staff? Just makes no sense to change something when there is nothing wrong with it how it is.	No	N/A	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield infant school		
193	11/7/25 13:28:24	11/7/25 13:31:28	anonymous	No	The significant reduction in funding is why I disagree with this proposal.	Yes	The families of Hillington attending Harefield School will be disadvantaged as they will have a significantly smaller budget then any other school in the borough.	A staff member	2			
194	11/7/25 13:31:39	11/7/25 13:33:43	anonymous	No	The school loses a huge chunk of their budget how can that benefit the children	Yes	It will effect children therefore parents too	A local resident	2	reach free harefield		
195	11/7/25 13:34:35	11/7/25 13:37:46	anonymous	No	This will have a detrimental affect on everyone in our community	Yes	This will affect everyone	A local resident	1			
196	11/7/25 13:33:34	11/7/25 13:39:15	anonymous	No	I don't agree with the proposal to amalgamate the schools because it would cut £159,000 in funding. This reduction will hurt the quality of education and the support that students receive. There are no clear educational benefits from this change, so it does not make sense to reduce funding and risk harming our schools and the future of the children. I believe it is important to keep our schools well-funded and focused on providing the best education for our children, mostly in an area with many children in poor conditions. Please consider these points when making your decision.	Yes	Yes, I believe these proposed changes could disadvantage some residents in Hillington, especially in Harefield. The merger would mean a loss of around £159,000 to £160,000 in school funding, which could lead to fewer resources and support for students. Staff, parents, and school governors are worried that this will lower the quality of education and possibly result in job losses. Years of funding cuts have already made resources tight, so any further reduction could have a real impact on children and families in the area. Many people feel that the changes would not bring clear benefits and could make things harder for pupils, staff, and the wider community.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield Infant School	We want the council to hear us because right now, it feels like our voices are not being listened to. We care deeply about our schools and our community, and it's important that our concerns are taken seriously. We are asking the council to truly consider the impact these changes will have on our children's education and the future of Harefield. Please listen to us and work with us, not just for us. Our schools and community deserve to be heard and supported.	
197	11/7/25 13:41:39	11/7/25 13:44:42	anonymous	No	The amount of money this school will lose will result in the decline of this school	Yes	It will disadvantage the children of Harefield due to the lack of funding	A local resident	0		I AM STRONGLY AGAINST THIS PROPOSAL & AS A RESIDENT OF HAREFIELD I AM EXTREMELY CONCERNED AT THE IMPACT THIS WILL HAVE ON THE FAMILIES IN OUR COMMUNITY	
198	11/7/25 13:44:55	11/7/25 13:48:42	anonymous	No	There are no benefits to this amalgamation, it is a pointless exercise which is only being suggested as a way for the council to save money. Both schools already work closely together & as a parent I see absolutely no benefit to this.	Yes	There will be less money available to the school resulting in staff losses meaning children will not receive the level of care & support they do now. There will be no money for extra resources or any extras for the children.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield Junior	I strongly oppose this amalgamation	
199	11/7/25 13:50:29	11/7/25 13:54:10	anonymous	No	the infant school is perfect the way it is	Yes	the actual model fits better for the development of the kids	A parent/carer/guardian	one	Harefield infant school		
200	11/7/25 14:30:06	11/7/25 14:31:03	anonymous	No	reduction in funding with no educational benefits	Yes	Children will lack support	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant school and Harefield junior school		
201	11/7/25 13:50:10	11/7/25 14:53:34	anonymous	No	I do not agree because of the money being taken away from these schools, more money should be being invested in education not being taken away.	Yes	Because the children will be losing a lot of funding at school	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield		
202	11/7/25 17:03:04	11/7/25 17:07:09	anonymous	No	I cannot be convinced that such a huge reduction in funding would in any benefit the pupils at the school.	Yes	Education and the future of our children should be the very last resort for funding cuts. I can think of many other areas of absolute waste that the council should look at before defunding children and the vulnerable.	A local resident	none	Grandchildren, n. 3	Councillors are elected to be the voice of the very people who elected them. Their own political agenda should not take precedence.	
203	11/7/25 19:19:31	11/7/25 19:23:41	anonymous	No	Reduction in funding with no educational benefits	Yes	Reduction in funds means reduction of staff so residents in hillington will be without jobs	Prefer not to say	2	Harefield infant school		
204	11/7/25 19:43:00	11/7/25 19:44:23	anonymous	No	Significant reduction in funding to the school	No	The children of residents will be	Prefer not to say				
205	12/7/25 0:02:52	12/7/25 0:04:12	anonymous	Yes		Yes		A parent/carer/guardian	2		It makes sense to me. Efficiencies of scale. No point having two buildings that do the same thing but being run separately.	
206	12/7/25 0:13:23	12/7/25 0:20:23	anonymous	No	As this is a village school that many/ all kids attend in the area and it's vital that kids get the education needed. Making the school one is silly the child needs the adjustment to know and learn how to grow. This school has benefited me greatly while I was younger and keeping them the way they are is essential to a child's development and education is a huge part of the curriculum and well as social interaction	Yes	As there will be more people in one area in one school. Staff will be cut many of the staff are parent's to children in the school. This is a small village who are a community	A local resident	1	Udbridge college	As a young person myself this is disappointing. I went to harefield juniors and infants and nursery and enjoyed it, as a Sen kid myself I struggled with dyslexia and they helped me to get a diagnosis and also provide me with tools to learn I had extra support from year one all the way to year 6 teachers helping me in assembly times taking time to teach me things I could not understand one on one sessions. This school is what kids the village connected this is the only school kids in harefield and surrounding area attend and by cutting funds and merging the school I will have a detrimental effect on students.	
207	12/7/25 6:53:47	12/7/25 6:55:34	anonymous	No	They need to remain separate for the benefit of children and staff	Yes	It will affect their child's education especially if special needs.	A local resident	None			
208	12/7/25 7:11:02	12/7/25 7:15:57	anonymous	No	Because it will affect the children especially all the changes that would come with it. Also the school would lose some TA and that will impact the learning especially	Yes	The children because they won't get the help in the classroom like they do now	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harefield infants/Junior	It should not be allowed to happen the school have been separate for many years I attended both when I was younger	
209	12/7/25 7:16:06	12/7/25 7:18:32	anonymous	No	It will affect staff and the children in the classroom	Yes	The children especially the sen children not getting the support needed in class	A parent/carer/guardian	2	rs Juniors	Stop this ridiculous idea	
210	12/7/25 7:24:40	12/7/25 7:26:15	anonymous	No	- taking funding away -no educational benefits	No	- there is a huge funding drop I the school won't cope	A parent/carer/guardian	5	Harefield infant	Pick on a bleaser school	
211	12/7/25 7:26:25	12/7/25 7:27:24	anonymous	No	Funding decrease No benefit to learning!!!	Yes	- what does it bring for the children? Loss. Loss of funding. No benefits to there learning	A local resident	3	White heath		
212	12/7/25 7:27:29	12/7/25 7:28:12	anonymous	No	- huge loss of funding No plus to there learning	Yes	- no funding	A parent/carer/guardian				
213	12/7/25 8:25:02	12/7/25 8:26:39	anonymous	No	It would not be beneficial to the children at all. It's that simple and we must put the children first!	Don't know	I'm not sure regarding residential	A local resident	3	Caltham manor		
214	12/7/25 11:07:11	12/7/25 11:15:00	anonymous	No	Both schools should be left to operate on their individual basis so that focus on children's education can be concentrated precisely. If both schools are joined, then due to reduction of funds, children will be neglected especially SEN ones.	Yes	Yes, we as parents are concerned about children education. We want the see education standards going up not down, this joining of both schools will bring the standards down.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield	I strongly object this joining of Harefield infant and junior school. Council should listen to us residents instead of making their own decisions, it is a democracy after all. We residents object this. If council does go ahead with the joining plan, I am going to take my child out and this is what majority of us would do.	
215	7/13/25 8:16:40	7/13/25 8:17:26	anonymous	No		Yes	It will disadvantage the children that go to that school as there will be a huge reduction in funding	Prefer not to say				
216	7/13/25 10:15:48	7/13/25 10:20:11	anonymous	No	It is massively detrimental to my children and shouldn't be happening. The school does not even meet the criteria for you to be doing this so I think it is disgusting and the end result will result in faith loss in our council. There will be no trust remaining. This should NOT be allowed to continue	Yes	It is massively detrimental to my children and shouldn't be happening. The school does not even meet the criteria for you to be doing this. The funding that would be removed is needed and Hillington should not be permitted to do this for their own gain. As I said previously - it's disgusting!	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Infant school and Harefield junior school	Reconsider this, realise it's a mistake and the school does NOT meet the criteria. End this now.	
217	7/13/25 16:23:54	7/13/25 19:56:08	anonymous	No	The schools works as it is and does the best for every student as it is by joining together it cuts money which will affect the pupils	Yes	It will disadvantage the children attending the school	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield infant school	Its the councils greed for saving money at the disadvantage of children	



218	7/13/25 20:21:21	7/13/25 20:23:52	anonymous	No	It significantly reduces the funding for the schools, impacting the staff and children	Yes	Especially if their children come to our school	A staff member	0	N/A	The amalgamation is a joke - we have not triggered any reason to amalgamate compared to other schools in the borough who are yet to go through this process. This will significantly impact the learning and school experience of our children.
219	7/13/25 20:23:57	7/13/25 20:26:16	anonymous	No	There are no educational benefits of amalgamating the two schools as we already work closely together.	Yes	The children in the two schools will be impacted and they live in the borough of Hillingdon.	A local resident	1	Harfield Junior School	The loss of money will have financial implications on the experiences and opportunities of the children. Not to mention the staff reductions that will have to no doubt take place.
220	7/13/25 20:26:20	7/13/25 20:28:29	anonymous	No	The schools are going to lose £159,000 worth of funding which will have a detrimental impact on the learning a support within the school.	Yes	The children and staff that work at the school.	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Both the Harfield infant and junior school	This is only going to impact the children's learning and experience. Less staff will mean less support for all children, including those with SEND needs!
221	7/13/25 20:28:44	7/13/25 20:30:16	anonymous	No	It will disadvantage Harfield children and families who will have to be educated with £159K less than the other infant and Junior schools in the borough.	Yes	Families with children who go to the schools.	A staff member	0	N/A	There are no educational benefits to amalgamating the two schools - they already work well together.
222	7/13/25 20:30:21	7/13/25 20:31:51	anonymous	No	The schools' budget will be reduced by £159,000	Yes	The children and staff at both schools. The Children's Centre too!	A local resident	0	N/A	There are no real advantages to amalgamating - only disadvantages that'll negatively impact our community.
223	7/13/25 20:33:37	7/13/25 20:34:56	anonymous	No	It negatively impacts the schools financially.	Yes	The staff and children that work/attend the schools.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Infant School	The schools already work well together. Taking away half of the money will impact the learning and experiences that my children and the children of others have.
224	7/13/25 20:35:00	7/13/25 20:37:26	anonymous	No	There is no reason to amalgamate that will improve what the schools do already for the children. Only lessen the experiences and opportunities that these children have.	Yes	The children and their families within the village community.	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harfield Infant and Junior School	Taking away £159,000 will cause the school to make staff changes, which will impact the support of the children.
225	7/14/25 13:18:16	7/14/25 13:33:39	anonymous	No	Went there as a child. Think it's a disgusting proposal and the fact they are pushing a false narrative there will be no negative affects is baseless and delusional.	Yes	Obviously budget will be further stretched, this will cause staff to lose resources and valuable time to nurture each student as an individual. Age groups should be separated so they can thrive with peers not much older students, this will change the way they develop and other studies show there are negative impacts to this.	A local resident	1	Harfield Infant school	The roads are ruined/ litter and fly tipping everywhere. Doctors surgery is over run, so hard to get a dentist appointment. For heavens sake do not detriment our children. This is the biggest offence to any parent!!! Uprisings will occur when we should be aiming for consolidating ourselves as a village and a community. All this proposal does is create further divides between authority and civilians.
226	7/15/25 8:16:14	7/15/25 8:24:41	anonymous	No	Would the council kindly accept that the educational benefits which appear to be generalised, do not apply in this instance. The case has not been made and therefore, is not in the best interests of the pupils.	Yes	Harfield is a somewhat insulated and semi rural village. Some of our children barely leave the village and the school has been determined to support social mobility through subsidising trips, sports events and even school uniforms for our large group of just about managing families.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Infant School	The 2 gentlemen from Hillingdon who came to the meeting at the school were nothing short of disrespectful. They rolled their eyes, smirked and spoke down to the 2 school leaders who were merely asking pertinent questions. Some staff were in tears while (name redacted as personal data) looked at the clock between condescending responses.
227	7/15/25 8:31:17	7/15/25 8:36:35	anonymous	No	because it is going to disadvantage the already disadvantaged - it won't benefit the schools, those guys from Hillingdon even agreed with our points as parents! So why would you do it? to save a lousy £159K? That ain't gonna fill the £65 million that Hillingdon owes!	Yes	already said!	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harfield Junior	PS, hands off our schools surplus, they saved that money to do up the toilets which haven't been renovated since built in 1988!! Even I used those toilets when I was at school, oh the irony, now the council wants to take the ...
228	7/15/25 8:49:12	7/15/25 8:58:51	anonymous	No	How can less money lead to better education?	Yes	Anyone living in Harfield with children will have to send their child to a school that is funded less than other infant and junior schools.	A staff member	2	Harfield Infant School	This cannot happen it will destroy our school. I am strongly oppose the idea. Also the member of staff who delivered the news and the **** for Hillingdon was extremely unprofessional when he came to our consultation and made it all seem like a joke! Utterly unprofessional and awful conduct on his part.
229	7/15/25 10:38:47	7/15/25 10:47:21	anonymous	No	I work at the school and have done for 17 years. I know that expecting both schools, two separate buildings, to run on one budget will have detrimental affects on our children and their education. I do not want to see us loose valued members of staff, our support staff will lose their jobs meaning our already very needy children will not get the support they require. Standards are high and this will ultimately stretch our already stretched staff putting more pressure on teachers as their work load will double.	Yes	potentially their children may not get the support they need in school making them move their children to schools out of the area.	A staff member	2	Harfield Infant School	
230	7/15/25 10:49:48	7/15/25 10:55:31	anonymous	No	My children attend this school and I worry for the future of their education. The proposal states this in 3 years times the budget will be half what it is now..how will my son's who is just starting reception-classroom look? Will he have the resources he needs? A support TA? Welfare? The answer will be no as I know there will be multiple redundancies. People will end up sending their children to other schools where provisions are better as well as resources. I fear for the future I can see numbers dropping and the school being forced on to one site and worst case...closing!	Yes	I can see the infants being closed down and the land sold for building. Its not the plan now but I know it is the long term plan as Hillingdon is near enough bankrupt.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	HIS	I feel this is pointless but I hope someone listens.
231	7/15/25 11:01:06	7/15/25 11:07:21	anonymous	No	Less help for the children as less staff it will be the children's education that will suffer.	Yes	If this goes ahead I think parents will think twice about sending their children to this school as the help and support won't be there as it always has been. No parent will want to put their child's education at risk.	A staff member	1	Harfield school	Think about the children's future and education that is what matters.
232	7/15/25 13:09:53	7/15/25 13:11:18	anonymous	No	It is unfair when other schools are wasting money and have not made cost cutting decisions	Yes	There is lots of deprivation in the area!	A parent/carer/guardian	Na	NA	
233	7/15/25 14:00:47	7/15/25 14:01:51	anonymous	No	It will Reduce budget from the school	Yes	The children who will Have their teaching Budget reduced	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Junior school	
234	7/15/25 14:00:51	7/15/25 14:02:35	anonymous	No	Because the school will just loose funding with no educational benefits	Yes	Already straitlneet on here	A parent/carer/guardian	1	HIS	Stop taking from the poorest people...
235	7/15/25 14:01:52	7/15/25 14:03:49	anonymous	No	No benefit to children. Loss of money for educating the children.	Yes	The children of harfield will be at a disadvantage as their education will be effected by the proposed changes	Prefer not to say	1	Harfield junior school	
236	7/15/25 14:02:03	7/15/25 14:04:45	anonymous	No	It does not benefit the students in any way.	Yes	Expenses will fall on me to cover cost of school activities.	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harfield Junior school and Harfield school	
237	7/15/25 14:02:43	7/15/25 14:05:15	anonymous	No	That would not benefit children. That would cause chaos and cut staff.	Yes	School is a unique place in our society. It is not only a center of education but also plays a strategic role in our society, helps engage communities in co-working.	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harfield Junior	
238	7/15/25 14:01:55	7/15/25 14:06:34	anonymous	No	Junior school site is not large enough to provide the children from nursery to year six with the space they need to adequate grow a d develop. There is no room for reception and no room for nursery both indoor and outdoor learning spaces. The playground is not large enough to accommodate all children at once safely.	Yes	All children deserve the right to a good educational start in life and this is affecting their opportunities for life. If our children suffer then we all suffer now and in the future	A parent/carer/guardian	3		
239	7/15/25 14:00:38	7/15/25 14:06:36	anonymous	No	The loss of funds will also greatly impact the ability of the school to meet the educational needs of all children and fundamental learning opportunities will be lost.	Yes	I think the residents will take their children to other schools where children can enjoy more new and interesting activities which are probably further distance. We love Harfield Infant and Harfield Junior School the way it is now.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harfield Junior	
240	7/15/25 14:02:03	7/15/25 14:06:56	anonymous	No	I don't agree with the amalgamation because this means that the school will receive less money for pupils' activities. My daughter is very happy with all that she learned and we are grateful that the school offered so many ways for children to learn and develop their skills.	Yes	Lack of funding, less jobs so low staff and overworked, upheaval an stress	A parent/carer/guardian	5	Harfield	Leave the school alone
241	7/15/25 14:06:36	7/15/25 14:07:51	anonymous	No	I do not feel it is beneficial to the pupils in anyway and an absolutely ridiculous proposal, an unnecessary upheaval and to take away funding from our school children (mine go to this school) is disgusting	No	/	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harfield infants, harfield juniors and the willows academy trust	
242	7/15/25 14:01:05	7/15/25 14:09:29	anonymous	No	The school will loose funding which will mean cut backs to staff the pupils will not benefit from this	Don't know	Don't know	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield infants and Harfield juniors	I think the parents of the school have spoken quite loudly with how many objected to this proposal. For the sake of our kids this would be an incredible shame for this to proceed. Especially Where our kids education is concerned it should not be about saving money for our council by forming to schools and losing a crazy amount of money.
243	7/15/25 14:10:13	7/15/25 14:13:42	anonymous	No	We lose funding and our kids especially struggling ones will not benefit from this.	Yes	Same as above.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Junior	
244	7/15/25 14:05:21	7/15/25 14:13:51	anonymous	No	Less funding means less staff, therefore problems will arise for the children.	Yes	It affects the learning of their children.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harfield Junior School	
245	7/15/25 14:03:08	7/15/25 14:19:00	anonymous	No	To better support the needs of the children	Yes	Please see above.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield infant and junior	We as a community are fully against this proposal. You are urged to reconsider as this proposal will only cause damage. People have more faith in the school and it's staff than the education department of Hillingdon.
246	7/15/25 14:36:48	7/15/25 14:38:19	anonymous	No	The school stands to lose a substantial amount of it's monetary budget which will affect the children who attend, they lose out in this amalgamation and will not receive the support amongst other things that the schools are able to provide currently.	Yes	Loss of finance will affect the children in a distinctly negative way.	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harfield Junior school and Shireways school Enfield	
247	7/15/25 14:44:07	7/15/25 14:46:14	anonymous	No	This proposal only stands to benefit Hillingdon council at the children's expense. The children with special needs or medical needs will likely struggle due to lack of staff as the school can't afford the necessary training/trained staff.	Yes	Because it's a bad idea	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harfield Junior school and Shireways school Enfield	Make sure the select committee knows the truth
248	7/15/25 14:45:46	7/15/25 14:48:26	anonymous	No	This is absolutely deplorable that the council are ignoring the residents of harfield and the staff and parents of the schools. You would be disadvantaging one of the most vulnerable parts of our community. It is shameful.	Yes	It will because they won't have enough learning supports and teachers and if another school provides more than Harfield will lose out.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harfield Junior school	
249	7/15/25 14:49:48	7/15/25 14:51:14	anonymous	No	The funding the school will lose will be detrimental to the education of the students	Yes	The children will not benefit in any way	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harfield Junior and Harfield Infant	
250	7/15/25 14:49:52	7/15/25 14:51:33	anonymous	No	Budget cut and no legal reasons to do so	Yes	Because children education will be at a lower standards and kids are our future	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Junior School	Stop this!

252	7/15/25 15:12:18	7/15/25 15:14:14	anonymous	No	Loss of funding £160k for the school ②	Yes	This will affect the quality of teaching for the students	A local resident	2	The Reach Free Harefield Infant & Junior	
253	7/15/25 15:12:11	7/15/25 15:17:17	anonymous	No	Because its not fair on the staff or pupils and especially those with special educational needs	No	Kids with special needs in this main stream school will suffer	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Buylee Nursery	This is a clear example of how resources for the most important members of our society the future generations suffer at the hands of those who seek to rob from Peter to give to Paul and it's pretty disgusting.
254	7/15/25 15:42:53	7/15/25 15:51:27	anonymous	No	The schools operate across two separate sites & require staff, admin & quality education across both the infant & nursery school. The schools should remain an infant and primary school allowing children in the infant school to transition at primary education. They are different sites although located closely they are different schools serving different age groups. This will mean less resource and attention for all the children who attend this school and impact staff who have worked stead fastly to improve both schools regardless of location in borough and gain a good reputation in the past years an achievement that cannot and should not be unnoticed because the council wants to save money when the children are the future of the country where is money better spent on education of these children. Because we have already done most of the cost savings so it won't work out here!	Yes	Because the children will receive less resource, the current set up which has achieved fantastic results will be completely dismantled basically trying to run the same two schools but just less money by claiming them as one school the only benefit is to the council not the residents who's children suffer from worse schooling because you will reduce staffing and resources which are integral for the schools success. The current management at the school has proven its consistent ability to provide a positive infant and primary experience and this is what is should be it's not one school.	A local resident	1		
255	7/15/25 15:43:53	7/15/25 15:52:49	anonymous	No		Yes	Because we are a village school and many people are not able to afford their bills	A local resident	N	No	
256	7/15/25 15:51:21	7/15/25 15:54:31	anonymous	No	It will extremely effect the children's learning	Yes	It will effect the children's learning in the village and surrounding areas that attend the schools	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield infant and Harefield Juniors	It is extremely unfair and prejudice to the last village in Hillingdon's children and families
257	7/15/25 16:10:43	7/15/25 16:14:05	anonymous	No	Losing funds for the schools, will effect the children	Yes	Effect all school children going to the school or will be attending in the future	A staff member	4	Both schools	
258	7/15/25 14:01:36	7/15/25 16:26:12	anonymous	No	The schools will loose about £160,000 per year resulting in less TA's quality of education and extra curriculum activities will suffer.	Yes	Again it will be the children and their education being affected by this loss of budget of £160,000	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield Junior and Harefield Infant	
259	7/15/25 16:42:32	7/15/25 16:58:55	anonymous	No	You are taking £160k from the school. This WILL impact the children. There's no way around this fact, taking money from their school will impact the children. The school will need to cut staff, reduce the amount of activities the children currently have access to. SEN children will be impacted by these changes. Currently there is support for the teachers, losing TAs will effect the children without EHCPs. The two schools operate as one school with teachers and headmasters floating between both schools. Uniforms are the same. There is NO advantages to taking £160k from this school. None what so ever!!! 97% have voted against this ludicrous proposal that has now gone onto the next stage debate public votine against it. The will have a detrimental effect on our children's education and is just a cost cutting exercise for the council!	Yes	Any Hillingdon resident who's child /grandchild attends this school will feel its impact. This school has always been able to facilitate the flow of children coming into the school, going from a two tier school to a three tier school when needed. The numbers of children fluctuate over the years, if £160k is cut and staff is lost (which they will be) this will not be an option. A school cannot be stretched to breaking point and still be expected to perform without major changes being implemented. You are taking a HUGE lump of money from a school & from primary school children. How low can you council go?!!	A local resident	0	My grandchildre n attend Harefield Juniors, we are all Harefield residents.	As above!
260	7/15/25 18:00:29	7/15/25 18:04:25	anonymous	No		Yes	This means parent will have to travel to other school due to the lack of school places and reduced staff,if this happens!	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield Junior	More cost cutting for a much needed school!
261	7/15/25 18:35:34	7/15/25 18:38:22	anonymous	No	I can't see what the benefit to harefield would be the school operates very well as 2 separate entities and doesn't fall into amalgamation criteria so why do it unless you have a hidden agenda	Yes	The school will be massively squeezed financially and with a high number of sen children without paid learning support the teachers will not be able to help those and also teach and stretch the more able	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield junior school the reach free school	
262	7/15/25 18:37:28	7/15/25 18:40:24	anonymous	No	As it's a perfect school as it is and will be to much and to busy for all children!	Yes	Be no good for anyone	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield infants and juniours	
263	7/15/25 21:36:56	7/15/25 21:38:38	anonymous	No	Loss money on funding for the children	Yes	Effect all children at the school and future children	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Both infant & junior	
264	7/15/25 23:46:41	7/15/25 23:51:44	anonymous	No	Why would you do that Resulting in less funding furthermore the risk of closure later on due to funds. Leave our village alone.	Yes	Clearly an alternative motive The council is destorine out villaae and imactine our children's future.	A parent/carer/guardian	Why	Harefield	You are destroying our borough bit by bit. Concentrate on supporting the working people instead of paying out to those who cheat the system or dont deserve it.
265	7/16/25 6:21:09	7/16/25 6:24:49	anonymous	No	The lack of funding will make it difficult to run two school buildings plus staff and resource. The children will be disadvantaged and I don't see why they should be.	Yes	The children are residents! With a lack of funding the children will not receive the same interventions and teaching assistant support as others.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	The Reach Free	
266	7/16/25 7:45:53	7/16/25 7:49:59	anonymous	No	The idea of losing almost £160k is shocking and you think the school can function as normal without a loss of staff. This will not be the case and everyone will be stretched even further than normal.	Yes	Because the children will not receive the higher level of support and education they deserve. I appreciate some schools don't have a TA in every classroom but we do have a high level of need and there are some children that require further intervention or support which simply won't happen if cuts are made.	A staff member	2	They both attended Harefield Infant and Junior	The people making these decisions are not spending adequate time within the school and classroom environment. You've no idea how challenging it can be. Staff work so hard until they are at burnt out at end of term, this will only exacerbate that. I also don't see the benefit of the amalgamation when both schools always work so closely together, why target harefield before any of the others in the borough who aren't already working collectively?
267	7/16/25 8:13:35	7/16/25 8:16:43	anonymous	No	Both schools are already underfunded without reducing their funding still further.	Yes	The children that attend those schools will suffer from reduced opportunities as a result of reduced budgets and a reduced level of pastoral care as a result of overstretched staff	A local resident			
268	7/16/25 8:29:51	7/16/25 8:31:38	anonymous	No	It's not beneficial for our kids	Yes	The school is struggling already	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield infant and junior school	
269	7/16/25 8:46:02	7/16/25 8:47:34	anonymous	No		Yes	This will have an impact for all the kids and teachers. It should all stay as it is.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Infant	
270	7/16/25 8:47:52	7/16/25 8:48:44	anonymous	No	Doesn't benefit the children by losing funding	Yes	Loss of funding	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infants	
271	7/16/25 8:50:26	7/16/25 8:51:04	anonymous	No		Yes	The children	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Infants school	
272	7/16/25 8:45:46	7/16/25 8:51:56	anonymous	No	The school will be losing key funding with no benefit to the kids, this sounds like a corporate decision rather than a community benefit decision	Yes	Yes this will lead to poor level of education for pupils through the loss of funding putting residents with children at a disadvantage to current state, where is the community commitment?	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield infant school	
273	7/16/25 8:59:55	7/16/25 9:00:55	anonymous	No		No	N/A	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield Infant School	
274	7/16/25 8:58:11	7/16/25 9:02:11	anonymous	No	As a former pupil @now 40 years old with children in the school I find this disgusting multi generational family's now not wanting to use the school due to feeling there children will miss out disgusting	Yes	Obviously they will	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harefield infants school and Harefield Junior school	
275	7/16/25 8:59:29	7/16/25 9:02:18	anonymous	No	Our children will lose vital funding needed to support their learning and school experience.	Yes	The children will suffer from lack of funding.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant school	
276	7/16/25 9:01:37	7/16/25 9:02:34	anonymous	No	We lose funding and pupils do not benefit in anyway I strongly disagree	Yes	Taking away from benefits	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harefield	
277	7/16/25 8:49:19	7/16/25 9:02:47	anonymous	No	Amalgamation of these two schools means that there will be budget cut and running two schools on one single budget will affect all the students especially those with additional needs.	Yes	Its giving us parents extra stress. Due to amalgamation there will be a definite budget cut which will result in less TAs in a classroom. With less adult support in a classroom, a teacher will not be able to support every child. This will affect children who needs additional support as they will be left out.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant school	Both the schools are running very well, all the staff members and teacher are working really hard and we can see the fruits of their efforts in our kids. Please don't ruin it for everyone just for the sake of money because budget is important to keep the school running progressively.
278	7/16/25 9:02:36	7/16/25 9:03:18	anonymous	No		Yes	This could have an impact on the kids, teachers and the residents	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Infant school	
279	7/16/25 9:02:32	7/16/25 9:03:29	anonymous	No	Funding cuts are very unfair for the children and school	Yes	Children cannot afford to loose funding	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield infant school	
280	7/16/25 9:02:41	7/16/25 9:03:47	anonymous	No	Reducing the school budget	Yes	Cutting kids budget	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Infant	
281	7/16/25 9:03:27	7/16/25 9:04:00	anonymous	No		Yes		A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Infant	
282	7/16/25 9:02:41	7/16/25 9:04:11	anonymous	No	Can't afford to loose funding	Yes	Yes less funding means our children miss out	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield infants	
283	7/16/25 9:02:35	7/16/25 9:04:12	anonymous	No	It will massively affect the ability of learning.	Yes	Teacher's will lose their jobs. The children learning will be affected. Those children with additional needs that already struggle will be massively affected in a negative way.	A parent/carer/guardian	6	Harefield Nursery, Harefield Infants & Junior's	
284	7/16/25 9:03:30	7/16/25 9:04:19	anonymous	No	Losing funds, children will suffer loss of activities	Yes	All children living in the area	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Both	
285	7/16/25 9:03:24	7/16/25 9:04:21	anonymous	No	Not good for the children	Yes	Less advantages for the children	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harefield infant Harefield Junior	
286	7/16/25 9:03:40	7/16/25 9:04:32	anonymous	No		Yes	It will disadvantage the children massively due to budget cuts, there is no advantage to this	A parent/carer/guardian			
287	7/16/25 9:03:42	7/16/25 9:04:38	anonymous	No	Ridiculous	Yes	Less funding for the children	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant	No
288	7/16/25 8:45:52	7/16/25 9:04:40	anonymous	No	The school works well as it is - don't see a reason to change and cut the funding the kids so desperately need	Yes	It will disadvantage every parent that has a child with additional needs as that's where the cuts will be first when they loose the funding	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield Infant School	

289	7/16/25 9:02:39	7/16/25 9:05:03	anonymous	No	Our school does not meet the criteria for you to do this! It will disadvantage my children massively!	Yes	The school cannot provide the same education if you take away the funding!	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield junior and Harefield infant school	Stop this!
290	7/16/25 9:03:22	7/16/25 9:05:09	anonymous	No	They will lose so much funding	Yes	Children will suffer	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield infant school	Please keep the schools as they are
291	7/16/25 9:04:04	7/16/25 9:05:14	anonymous	No	Not good totally disadvantaged our poor children	Yes	Massive funding loss will cripple parents and children not happy	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Both	Both schools
292	7/16/25 9:04:29	7/16/25 9:05:19	anonymous	No	Effects children	Yes	All children losing funds in the school	A staff member	4	A staff member	
293	7/16/25 9:01:39	7/16/25 9:05:26	anonymous	No	It will be detrimental to our children's education to lose \$59,000 a year affecting all children especially with sen needs due to the cuts the school will have to make	Yes	Because we have children that attend the school that are our future and the loss will dramatically affect them	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield infant & Harefield juniors	There are no benefits to this going ahead
294	7/16/25 9:04:04	7/16/25 9:05:31	anonymous	No	Because it takes funding away from the kids of the school.	Yes	Disadvantage the kids	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant and junior school	
295	7/16/25 9:03:05	7/16/25 9:05:37	anonymous	No	Not good	Yes	It needs to be kept the same	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harefield junior and infant school	It is ridiculous
296	7/16/25 9:04:08	7/16/25 9:05:39	anonymous	No	Funding issues tight enough and will affect our children's education	Don't know	Unsure	A parent/carer/guardian	2	harefield infants	No
297	7/16/25 9:02:55	7/16/25 9:05:39	anonymous	No	The school needs to stay the same. The kids are happy. This school needs that money to provide for the kids:	Don't know	No	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant and junior.	
298	7/16/25 9:03:40	7/16/25 9:05:42	anonymous	No	The loss of funding to the school with have a major impact on the support and learning of the future generation.	Yes	It will affect the future of the children.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infants	There are no benefits to this going ahead
299	7/16/25 9:04:07	7/16/25 9:06:21	anonymous	No	Reduced funding which will not benefit our children	Yes	Reduced school places for local children	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Infant	
300	7/16/25 9:03:14	7/16/25 9:06:26	anonymous	No	We do not wish for our children to suffer due to lack of funding	Yes	We do not wish for our children to suffer due to lack of funding.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield infant school	There are no benefits to this going ahead
301	7/16/25 9:03:52	7/16/25 9:06:36	anonymous	No	Not happy with the children going to be all together not enough room	Yes	Always been two schools	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harefield infants & Harefield juniors	
302	7/16/25 9:03:18	7/16/25 9:06:40	anonymous	No	It's not fair on children loosing out in school funding.	Yes	It will affect our children.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant/ Harefield junior.	You all should be ashamed for doing this.
303	7/16/25 9:04:16	7/16/25 9:06:42	anonymous	No	This is merely a funding grab with no benefit to the school or its pupils	Yes	The school will lose £159k in budget which will adversely impact the school lives of all pupils	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant school	
304	7/16/25 9:04:00	7/16/25 9:06:45	anonymous	No	It will be detrimental to the children.	Yes	The children and families who need it most will now miss out.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	HAREFIELD INFANT SCHOOL	Help your local residents and families first before helping people who dont contribute.
305	7/16/25 9:03:50	7/16/25 9:06:46	anonymous	No	Because your harming my children's education.	Yes	Everyone with children.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant school	
306	7/16/25 9:03:04	7/16/25 9:06:55	anonymous	No	Pupils do not benefit in any way!	Yes	Budget cuts will mean staff are let go. Children will be impacted not having the staff resources they need. Parents will no longer be subsidised on the school trips	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant and junior schools	Government need to start looking after their own less funding to immigration would mean local school would be looked after
307	7/16/25 9:04:30	7/16/25 9:07:13	anonymous	No	Because they will lose all their funding and the children definitely need it	Yes	Disadvantages to the children	A local resident	0	Harefield infant juniors	
308	7/16/25 9:03:37	7/16/25 9:07:34	anonymous	No	Losing a large amount of money will mean local job loss, less school trips and activities for all the students the list goes on	Yes	Job loss, smaller classes due to less staff, local children will have to look to be schooled out of their borough	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harefield school and harefield juniors.	I don't believe you have the children's best interest at heart
309	7/16/25 9:04:31	7/16/25 9:07:38	anonymous	No	Taking a huge cut in our childrens budget	Yes	Less children to school	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant and junior	
310	7/16/25 9:03:45	7/16/25 9:08:25	anonymous	No	The schools should remain separate just the way they are they work closely together so there is no need for this. The children would suffer from lack off fundine. You should soo in there to see how happy the children are	Yes	Harefield is growing as a community the stability that both schools in there own way provide is essential	A local resident	None		This is an absolute abomination to our community and our kids. We will all be protesting if this goes ahead. I am not sure who you think this is going to benefit but it is not going to benefit anyone.
311	7/16/25 9:07:35	7/16/25 9:08:58	anonymous	No	This is merely a way to cut the funding with no benefit to the school or its pupils	Yes	The pupils school lives will be made worse due to less funding	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant school	
312	7/16/25 9:03:42	7/16/25 9:09:27	anonymous	No	Appalling idea, so bad for the kids. Not fair.	Yes	The schools are currently the best part of harefield. Changing a system that has worked for many decades, will ruin everything. Well done.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Infant	Awful plan and significant problems with this
313	7/16/25 9:02:47	7/16/25 9:09:30	anonymous	No	Illegal and will have a dangerous impact on our children	Yes	Massive financial cut	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant and junior school	
314	7/16/25 9:04:16	7/16/25 9:10:30	anonymous	No	As a former student of these schools, I strongly believe that each school has its own identity. The different buildings support children at different stages of their education. The transition from infant to junior school played a meaningful role in helping me mature and prepare for the next stage in my learning. Combining the two could risk losing that.	Yes	It will cause more traffic, jams, parking issues. There would definitely be construction going on if it happens which will cause more chaos. The schools remained like this for years, why should we ruin its identity?	Other	2	Harefield infant school	Don't do it. Simple.
315	7/16/25 9:28:52	7/16/25 9:30:24	anonymous	No	loss funding no benefits pupils or tutors	Yes	less funding, cuts pupils worse off	A parent/carer/guardian	2	harefield infant	
316	7/16/25 9:35:53	7/16/25 9:38:35	anonymous	No	Because it takes yet more money away from the schools who try really hard to teach our children	Yes	The schools already work closely together so amalgamation is not of benefit at all. It just reduces funding. The decision can't be just about funding	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant	Having separate Head teachers for nursery, infants and juniors makes it more personal and independent of children's welfare and education needs. This should not go ahead.
317	7/16/25 9:41:58	7/16/25 9:43:37	anonymous	No	The school will lose majority of its funding which will affect the children's education	Yes	N/A	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Infant school	
318	7/16/25 9:45:35	7/16/25 9:47:50	anonymous	No	School cannot afford reduced funding and the children will suffer as a result	Yes	It will put our children at a massive disadvantage	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infants and juniors schools	Do not change anything and we cannot lose our fundings
319	7/16/25 10:06:15	7/16/25 10:08:06	anonymous	No	My kids deserve the same treatment	Yes	My kids deserve same treatment	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield junior school	
320	7/16/25 10:29:18	7/16/25 10:38:10	anonymous	No	It will cut spending by £150,000 which will effect children's education and support needs.	Yes	Children coming into the nursery and infants will be affected by costs in funding. Families might look elsewhere where there is more funding to other schools.	A parent/carer/guardian	0	Harefield infants	This should not go ahead.
321	7/16/25 11:21:20	7/16/25 11:23:27	anonymous	No	Loss of needed funding and the pupils do NOT benefit in any way.	Yes	It will disadvantage the children as less funding to improve their education	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harefield infant school	
322	7/16/25 11:23:01	7/16/25 11:24:49	anonymous	No	Because £159,000 would be cut from the education fund and the school and children need as much support as they can.	Yes	Because £159,000 would be cut from the education fund and the school and children need as much support as they can.	A local resident	1	They attend nursery	Harefield infants and junior Schools.
323	7/16/25 11:26:13	7/16/25 11:26:55	anonymous	No	It's doesn't benefit kids.	Yes	The kids miss out.	A parent/carer/guardian	3		
324	7/16/25 11:31:12	7/16/25 11:33:38	anonymous	No	No educational benefits and does not meet any of the amalgamation criteria in Council's own policy.	Yes	This will disadvantage children within Harefield as the reduced budget will impact staffing and resources available. The school has a large proportion of GRT children in particular who will be affected.	A parent/carer/guardian	2		Harefield Infant school
325	7/16/25 11:34:08	7/16/25 11:35:06	anonymous	No	No educational benefits and does not meet any of the amalgamation criteria in Council's own policy.	Yes	This will disadvantage children within Harefield as the reduced budget will impact staffing and resources available. The school has a large proportion of GRT children in particular who will be affected.				
326	7/16/25 11:36:05	7/16/25 11:36:25	anonymous	No	No educational benefits and does not meet any of the amalgamation criteria in Council's own policy.	Yes	This will disadvantage children within Harefield as the reduced budget will impact staffing and resources available. The school has a large proportion of GRT children in particular who will be affected.				Harefield Infant school
327	7/16/25 11:36:34	7/16/25 11:36:56	anonymous	No	No educational benefits and does not meet any of the amalgamation criteria in Council's own policy.	Yes	This will disadvantage children within Harefield as the reduced budget will impact staffing and resources available. The school has a large proportion of GRT children in particular who will be affected.				
328	7/16/25 11:33:06	7/16/25 11:37:10	anonymous	No	Because the children's education will suffer with budget cuts and reduced staff. The school has an excellent reputation with amazing teachers and staff, so why change it.	Yes	It will impact residents with children at the school as above	A parent/carer/guardian	0		Harefield Infant school
329	7/16/25 11:37:05	7/16/25 11:37:38	anonymous	No	No educational benefits and does not meet any of the amalgamation criteria in Council's own policy.	Yes	This will disadvantage children within Harefield as the reduced budget will impact staffing and resources available. The school has a large proportion of GRT children in particular who will be affected.				

330	7/16/25 11:55:07	7/16/25 11:57:02	anonymous	Yes	Yes	These proposes will have a impact on the residents	A local resident	6	Harefield Junior , Harefield academy , UTC Heathrow	
331	7/16/25 12:28:32	7/16/25 12:36:42	anonymous	No	Yes	The funding what will be lost will be massively detrimental to the children's education , staff losses , school trips what are equally important part of education specialist pe teaching staff and music staff will not be able to be brought in , it will also massively effect the children's education who already struggle on a daily and need extra help Why change something that works well Taking of the children's education who for the councils gain!	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield infants. And harefield juniors	I do not agree this is NOT for the best in any way way for the children the only benefit I can see is that to save the council money that would be taken away from our children's education!!!
332	7/16/25 12:40:43	7/16/25 12:42:10	anonymous	No	Yes	It doesn't benefit the children	A local resident			
333	7/16/25 12:46:40	7/16/25 12:47:26	anonymous	No	No	N/A	A local resident	1	Harefield Infant School	
334	7/16/25 13:48:32	7/16/25 14:00:27	anonymous	No	Yes	Put the children first. if it's not broken don't mend it.	Other	None	Harefield infants school	Please don't try to save money on the backs of children.
335	7/16/25 14:12:12	7/16/25 14:16:27	anonymous	No	Yes	It's the pupils that will suffer, cuts will have to be made in all areas. It is a big school so money shared across it will result on the extras being cut.	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harefield Infant and Junior	
336	7/16/25 15:05:14	7/16/25 15:07:44	anonymous	No	Yes	Effect all children in the school	Other	3		
337	7/16/25 17:53:36	7/16/25 17:56:32	anonymous	No	Yes	It will deprive the school of c£150,000 per annum for no discernable benefit given the schools already operate a streamlined cross functional SMT that provides great benefit in joining the schools up. The only reason to amalgamate is to save money, and consequently deprive those children that attend of much needed resource.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Juniors and Harefield infants.	
338	7/16/25 18:31:23	7/16/25 18:33:32	anonymous	No	Yes	It's not good educationally for the children	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield juniors	
339	7/16/25 18:45:51	7/16/25 18:48:32	anonymous	No	Yes	There is no need to change what has worked for decades. The schools would suffer with decreased funding	A local resident	2	Reach Free School	
340	7/16/25 18:54:51	7/16/25 18:56:18	anonymous	No	Yes	It seems unfair to penalise the school and the children if harefield	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield the reach free	
341	7/16/25 18:55:39	7/16/25 18:57:14	anonymous	No	Yes	Because the children will suffer with the massive loss of funding !!	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield Infant and Junior	Absolutely ridiculous idea
342	7/16/25 18:56:19	7/16/25 18:57:30	anonymous	No	Yes	Because you're taking away funding from a school that needs it	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Junior and the reach free	
343	7/16/25 18:56:49	7/16/25 18:57:33	anonymous	No	Yes	No educational advantage				
344	7/16/25 18:57:27	7/16/25 18:58:55	anonymous	No	No	Because the children who attend the school esp the SEN children will suffer from the massive loss of funding .	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Junior	
345	7/16/25 18:58:24	7/16/25 18:58:58	anonymous	No	Yes	No educational benefit	A parent/carer/guardian			
346	7/16/25 18:55:39	7/16/25 18:59:01	anonymous	No	Yes	A reduction in funding can only be detrimental to the children. How can having less money to provide services ever be positive, ridiculous money grabbing initiative by council. All this when council taxes are going up	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield juniors and reach free	
347	7/16/25 18:55:53	7/16/25 18:59:35	anonymous	No	Yes	Not fair on the children getting the right amount of education	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Junior	
348	7/16/25 18:54:22	7/16/25 19:00:21	anonymous	No	Yes	This change will highly impacted children from all ages that are attending school or may plan to attend. Additionally the teachers will be affected by this change as budget will shrink considerably.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Junior school and the Reach Free School	My only comment is that the members of the council should prioritise the education and kids welfare first and not corners to save money.
349	7/16/25 19:01:06	7/16/25 19:02:42	anonymous	No	Yes	The loss of funding will be detrimental to the children and their education will suffer	A staff member	0	N/A	
350	7/16/25 18:56:11	7/16/25 19:02:55	anonymous	No	Yes	Because you are taking away money that provides valuable education to our children. What the school currently offers they will not be able to in the future as they will not have the funds	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Junior school	Cutting funds to an educational building is an absolute disgrace this is the council at its best. In debt for so much so to recoup this they decide to make schools struggle
351	7/16/25 19:01:23	7/16/25 19:03:00	anonymous	No	Yes	Appalling decision	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield Junior and Harefield infant	Shame on council
352	7/16/25 19:01:50	7/16/25 19:03:42	anonymous	No	Yes	The school needs the funding as it is 2 schools and does not meet the criteria to be amalgamated.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infant and Harefield juniors	
353	7/16/25 19:02:18	7/16/25 19:05:34	anonymous	No	Yes	The school needs the funding as it is 2 schools and does not meet the criteria to be amalgamated.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Infant and Juniors	
354	7/16/25 19:21:08	7/16/25 19:24:57	anonymous	No	Yes	There will be no benefit for doing this just a massive loss of funding for both schools more cuts for our children's future	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harefield Junior , Harefield High.	why is the government trying to mess with the future of our children
355	7/16/25 19:10:13	7/16/25 19:26:40	anonymous	No	Don't know	The two school are fine how they are	A parent/carer/guardian	5	Harefield Infant school and Harefield Junior school	
356	7/16/25 22:26:43	7/16/25 22:28:16	anonymous	No	Yes	Huge loss of funding for our children	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield Junior School	
357	7/16/25 23:40:30	7/16/25 23:46:51	anonymous	No	Yes	While I understand the intention to streamline resources, I am concerned that amalgamating the two schools may disrupt the existing support systems that many families currently rely on. Each school has developed its own strengths and community connections, and a merger could lead to a loss of familiarity, continuity, and tailored support for vulnerable pupils and their families.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield Junior School	I appreciate the council's efforts to improve education in the borough, but I would encourage decision-makers to consider how any changes might affect the most vulnerable families in the area. Stability and continuity are essential for children's emotional wellbeing and academic progress.
358	7/17/25 6:27:32	7/17/25 6:30:51	anonymous	No	Yes	It will affect the children when the budget cut. There will be job cuts with the staff and not too many trips and sporting activities	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Juniors and the Harefield	
359	7/17/25 6:30:58	7/17/25 6:53:43	anonymous	No	Yes	I'm a Harefield resident and think schools should stay as they are	A parent/carer/guardian	5	Infants Juniors and The Harefield	This will affect the childrens of the schools
360	7/17/25 6:44:04	7/17/25 6:47:09	anonymous	No	Yes	The children are going to suffer due to lack of Funding which means less support staff	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield juniors and global academv	
361	7/17/25 7:12:34	7/17/25 7:13:18	anonymous	No	Yes	No benefit to educational outcomes. Goes against Council's own amalgamation policy.				
362	7/17/25 8:04:04	7/17/25 8:07:32	anonymous	No	Yes	Why change it	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harefield Juniors and the academy	Why change it it's worked for years but it's all about money
363	7/17/25 12:47:47	7/17/25 12:50:07	anonymous	No	Yes	The children will suffer from 1 bigger school	A parent/carer/guardian		Harefield	
364	7/17/25 16:16:36	7/17/25 16:24:26	anonymous	No	Yes	It's not appropriate to have all the student that would fill 2 schools into one cramped place . Not healthy and they can't meet the children's needs and standards as a school .	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harefield infants	My son is 5 and is just moving into yr 1 and he is doing so well . Making the children all move into a place where they don't have space to play and the adequate attention from staff and the space they need . This will impact the children's development and learning skills . So I'm not happy for the school to blend into one .
365	7/17/25 19:00:46	7/17/25 19:01:05	anonymous	No	Yes	Too many children in one building	Other			
366	7/18/25 10:16:31	7/18/25 10:17:23	anonymous	No	Yes	N/A	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harefield Junior school	
367	7/19/25 8:47:09	7/19/25 8:48:21	anonymous	No	Yes	No educational benefit and does not met any of council's own criteria for amalgamation.				
368	7/19/25 8:48:28	7/19/25 8:49:47	anonymous	No	Yes	No educational benefit and schools already streamlined for maximum efficiency. This will change nothing but take money away from the schools.	Children in Harefield particularly the GRT community			
369	7/19/25 8:49:52	7/19/25 8:50:08	anonymous	No	Yes	Children in Harefield				

370	7/19/25 15:45:57	7/19/25 16:00:20	anonymous	No	They are separate schools always have been and should remain so	Yes	Yes both of the schools budgets will be vastly reduced, which will effect childrens education	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harfield Infant and Harfield Juniors	I personally think it is disgusting that the council is trying to merge the schools just to save money, council tax has gone up, we are now being charged for garden waste and on top of this the council wants to reduce our lovely infants and Junior Schools budget by over £150,000, the School manages its money brilliantly and does extra fund raising so the children get the best education and enjoyment balance. Maybe reduce HMO housing and illegal immigrants handouts to save money, not penalise hard working peoples children.
371	7/19/25 16:05:36	7/19/25 16:09:48	anonymous	No	The Schools both care about their pupils by doing this their budget will be drastically and this will be detrimental to the childrens education	Yes	As above	A local resident	0	N/A	I find it deeply concerning that the council is considering merging our infant and junior schools as a way to cut costs. These schools are already operating on an incredibly tight budget, doing the best they can with limited resources. Instead of targeting our children's education, perhaps the council should reevaluate other areas of spending, for example, reducing the proliferation of HMOs and reviewing broader budget allocations could be a more responsible and fair approach. Our schools should be protected, not sacrificed.
372	7/19/25 20:12:20	7/19/25 20:17:04	anonymous	No	The amalgamation of the school will not benefit the children that are being taught, if anything it will stretch funds further lessening the pupil experience.	Yes	If there is less funding, surely this means there will be less budgets for schools to spend on the childrens education. What about the ever increasing costs of subscriptions? Residents in the local community may not choose for their child to attend the school if they know funding has been cut and may be insufficient.	A parent/carer/guardian	3	Harfield Junior and infant	
373	7/19/25 22:30:45	7/19/25 22:49:02	anonymous	No	I strongly disagree of the amalgamation. Both infants & juniors are performing very well & gave so much opportunities for kids to grow, learn & experience many things. Cutting down the budget for learning is disgusting & you should be ashamed of this proposal. I said this before in my comment. As a taxpayer & a full time working parent, our kids deserve to have quality education. There's so many people who take advantage of the rubbish benefits system of this country & that is needed to be sorted out urgently. But you don't touch schools but instead provide more budget & more opportunities for better & quality education.	Yes	I strongly disagree of the amalgamation. Both infants & juniors are performing very well & gave so much opportunities for kids to grow, learn & experience many things. Cutting down the budget for learning is disgusting & you should be ashamed of this proposal. I said this before in my comment. As a taxpayer & a full time working parent, our kids deserve to have quality education. There's so many people who take advantage of the rubbish benefits system of this country & that is needed to be sorted out urgently. But you don't touch schools but instead provide more budget & more opportunities for better & quality education.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Infant School	
374	7/19/25 23:06:20	7/19/25 23:07:21	anonymous	No	No educational benefit and loss of funding	Yes	Less money will lead to less support in school and opportunities for local children	Prefer not to say	3		
375	7/19/25 23:07:37	7/19/25 23:09:26	anonymous	No	The school will lose money. Budgets are already tight for schools and this will disadvantage the children. Much needed TA's will end up losing their jobs which will mean less classroom support for low ability children that don't have an ehcp	Yes	Poorer outcomes for children	A parent/carer/guardian	3		
376	7/19/25 23:09:31	7/19/25 23:12:47	anonymous	No	No education benefit. The reasons given in the proposal for amalgamation are false as the school is already working in that way and doing those things. The proposal is misleading because of this. Reducing the schools budget will only make things harder for our children	Yes	During meeting with council representatives he stated that having a TA in each class is unusual. Lots of children have extra educational needs but don't have an ehcp for extra funding. How will these children learn without the money to have for support staff	A parent/carer/guardian	2		
377	7/21/25 20:29:27	7/21/25 20:33:23	anonymous	No	The loss of funding to the schools will be unimagable & this is going to be at the detriment to my child's education, these are fantastic schools as they are please leave them alone. This is a money saving exercise & the implications that this will have on these schools has not been thought about	Yes	Any resident in Hillington who sends their child to this school will be disadvantaged as they will have half the budget of other schools.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harfield Infants	This can not go ahead we have to think about the education of our children
378	7/22/25 8:21:29	7/22/25 8:31:06	anonymous	No	This proposal will have a negative impact on the education and provision provided for the children of Harfield Infant and Junior Schools. It will ultimately lead to staff cuts. The schools have managed their budgets well and are currently able to provide learning support staff for each class which are needed to provide interventions and extra support for our most vulnerable children. The schools also provide extra curricular activities for all children who would not get these opportunities ordinarily for example sports training and music lessons where instruments are taught. The proposal will have a negative affect on the health and well-being of all children. Harfield is a unique village with poor transport links and little opportunities for young children outside of school. What the schools strive to achieve and provide for all childrens personal development has been noted as outstanding in their most recent OFSTED inspection. These opportunities would have to go with a reduced budget.	Yes	It would put Harfield childrens at a massive disadvantage. The schools do not have a huge amount of pupil premium children so money can not be made back with the extra allowance awarded for such children. However they do have a large amount of just about surviving children. Parents do struggle to pay for extra curricular activities for their children	A staff member	1	The Harfield School	I feel the information shared about the schools from the borough is misleading.
379	7/22/25 22:17:02	7/22/25 22:18:50	anonymous	No	Not needed totally unnecessary just a cost saving exercise and not considering the impact this will have on children, their families & school staff.	Yes	The schools will have less funding than other schools, disadvantaging the children & families	A local resident	0		
380	7/23/25 15:15:32	7/23/25 8:24:54	anonymous	No	The proposal will have a negative impact on the education provided for all children in Harfield. My child is currently in year 4 and requires a lot of extra support in class which the school currently provides. I worry that the cuts in budget will put the school in the position to make staff cuts. Putting extra strain on the teachers and impacting the provision provided for all children. The schools already work well together, sharing the same curriculum from nursery to year 6. Staff have training together and school celebrations are jointly shared. I see no educational benefits from this proposal. It will ultimately lead to negative impacts on education and provision for personal development.	Yes	It will put Harfield children at a disadvantage against other schools in the borough. I know that one school that has been through this process has gone from good to now requires improvement. This has had a negative impact on their pupil numbers which affects budget which will ultimately affect schools ability to provide educational provisions further more. I would hate to see this happen to Harfield infant and Junior Schools.	A parent/carer/guardian	1	Harfield Juniors	This proposal would have a negative impact on the health and well-being of all children who attend the schools now and in the future. The schools are two separate buildings so having a reduced budget would make it difficult to provide what they currently do, with the extra pressure they have in running two sites. They cannot simply run as a standard primary school.
381	7/23/25 16:35:47	7/23/25 16:37:33	anonymous	No	I do not agree to the amalgamation of these two schools because the budget will be halved, there is no way the school can operate on half a budget especially with the rising costs we are seeing at the moment	Yes	Yes any residents with children at these schools	Prefer not to say			
382	7/24/25 13:32:29	7/24/25 7:46:19	anonymous	No	There are no educational benefits only negatives. With a reduced budget but still the same sites to manage the schools will be forced to make staffing cuts. This will have a negative impact on the provision currently being provided. This will put the health and well-being of the pupils at the schools at risk.	Yes	The pupils will be disadvantaged against all other separate infant and Junior Schools in the borough and primary schools that have one site to manage and higher pupil numbers. Having a decreased budget will make it even more difficult to attract new parents/pupils having even more impact on the schools budget.	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harfield Infant and Harfield Junior School	I have read in the news today about Hillington Council being in debt. To me I can see this is just a cost cutting exercise that will have a great negative impact on the education of Harfield children. Harfield is a unique village with poor transport links. The schools strive to provide a rich and broad curriculum with opportunities for extra curricular activities such as sport and learning instruments. Opportunities that most children in Harfield would not get ordinarily. With a decreased budget these opportunities would have to stop. The schools also provide extra learning support which is needed, with the increase of SEND needs and limited space in specialist provisions in the borough, teaching is becoming increasingly difficult. I fear the amazing staff that work in the schools will move on to schools with greater budgets, putting further pressure on the schools. I see no educational benefits whatsoever.
383	7/26/25 15:08:19	7/26/25 15:18:28	anonymous	No	The 2 schools already work very closely together and there is a seamless progression from infant to junior education. Harfield Infant School has, since 2000 moved from "satisfactory" to "good" to "outstanding". How can you consider closing a school with such excellent results? The original consultation led to 97% of people voting 'No' to this proposal. What part of this do you not understand? The ultimate result will be a financial reduction of £150,000 to the 2 schools. That will obviously result in poorer education. Could provide how educational disruption can harm children. This should not progress!	Yes	For the reasons stated above.	A parent/carer/guardian	I write as a grandparent.	Harfield Infant School.	Should be discontinued. Totally wrong!!
384	7/26/25 15:20:32	7/26/25 15:25:50	anonymous	No	The 2 schools already work perfectly in harmony and the only result will be that they will be a reduction of £159,000 to the 2 schools. This cannot be good for future allocation of teachers etc.	Yes	As stated above.	A parent/carer/guardian	I speak as a grandparent.	Harfield Infant School.	In the original consultation 97% of respondents voted against the proposals. That should have made you realise that people are totally against it.
385	7/26/25 15:26:11	7/26/25 15:34:37	anonymous	No	The 2 schools work perfectly well together. They have an understanding with each other and the end product of your proposal is that both schools will end up with a reduced income of £159,000. This will result in less teachers and a poorer education. Harfield Infant School' rating has gone from satisfactory to good to outstanding in the last 15 years and you should be proud of this. Leave things well alone.	Yes	The original consultation resulted in 97% of people rejecting this proposal. Surely you can tell from that the population of Harfield are against this idea.	A parent/carer/guardian	I complete this as a aunt of nephews.	Harfield Infant School.	This is a very unpopular recommendation and should be shelved!!
386	7/27/25 9:24:25	7/27/25 9:28:38	anonymous	No	This proposal will only have a negative impact on the schools. The financial impact will mean the schools will have to make cut backs in staffing and provisions which are currently being provided, impacting on the schools most vulnerable children.	Yes	It will disadvantage Harfield children, due to lack of funds for extra educational support in classrooms which is greatly needed.	A local resident	1		This cost cutting exercise will put increased pressure and strain on the schools as they have to manage two sites with the budget for one.
387	7/28/25 9:48:10	7/28/25 9:56:50	anonymous	Yes	It is not clear on what is planned for the current Infant School site, and buildings. Is the plan to amalgamate both sites into one. The current Junior school site including playground is not large enough to allow playground space for all years.	Don't know	It depends on the plans for the Infant School site. Hopefully the overall site will be incorporated into the Junior school, allowing the existing Infant school buildings to become part of the Junior School facilities	Other	0	N/a	The Infant & Nursery years need their own outside play areas. The play equipment in the Junior school is not safe for Infant & Nursery years
388	7/30/25 10:47:54	7/30/25 11:12:25	anonymous	No	Harfield Infant School and Harfield Junior School already have a shared executive, are both run financially responsibly and already benefit from the economies of scale that such an arrangement offers. As a result the loss of significant funding (at a time when schools are already squeezed) that comes with amalgamation can only have a direct and significant negative impact on the education of the children and their future outcomes.	Yes	Because the Harfield schools only meet one of the potential triggers, which is essentially a small admin task related to the transition between schools, and that the schools are successfully handling, as the shared executive, it's unfair that the Harfield schools have been targeted ahead of other schools in the borough that are significantly failing financially. Harfield appears to be being penalised for already having its houses in order, so to speak, when these measures seem predominantly designed to encourage schools to run with less financial waste.	A parent/carer/guardian	2	Harfield Junior School and Harfield	I attended one of the meetings with council representatives and in spite of an alleged neutral position, they pushed hard for amalgamation. Under scrutiny from parents and staff, though, none of their arguments stood up. In the case of the Harfield schools they were unable to present a single benefit, in what is presented as a policy that is beneficial to schools, beyond a slightly smoother transition between infants and juniors, something the schools are already handling via the shared executive. I'm sure in some cases amalgamation is the right fit, but in the case of the Harfield schools, it's clear that there would only be a small admin overhead removed, but that small benefit is vastly outweighed by the negative effects of losing well over £100,000 per year in funding in a stunning manner during the meeting, the council representatives claimed that the money doesn't even go back into the council, whose financial woes are well documented, and instead returns to the DoH, so I don't understand why it's crucial to claw back this money now. I also don't understand why the council, whose role involves representing the interests of local people, is pushing so hard to prioritise these schools over others in the area that are run less efficiently. Whenever the money is going I think it's shocking the council is prepared to gamble with our children's futures, particularly those children with special educational needs, with a yearly amount of money that is hugely significant for a school, but wouldn't move the needle in the context of the council's debt.
389	7/30/25 14:32:26	7/30/25 14:35:13	anonymous	No	Not financially viable to run 2 schools with one budget.	Yes	Local residents children's education will suffer due to the reduced staffing and resources as a result of an amalgamation	A local resident	2	The reach free school	
390	7/30/25 15:00:10	7/30/25 15:10:05	anonymous	No	This is a cynical cloaksh of school funding under the guise of "efficiency" The staff are now teaching in a challenging profession with a hand tied behind their back.	Yes	Harfield children have been well served by this school which is already amalgamated as far as it needs to be. This can only be detrimental to their life chances.	A local resident	None	now	I am a retired teacher. We have been here before, it's like an ongoing war! The council has always been regarded as one of the best in London, if they go ahead with this, along with the garden centre, they will lose much of the goodwill that has taken decades to build
391	7/30/25 15:20:45	7/30/25 15:25:28	anonymous	No	The school has an amazing ethos and everything they do is to benefit the education and welfare of the children. If funds are cut, staff will be laid off and it will be totally detrimental to the school.	Yes	Many may not be able to pay for trips that are currently funded by the school and their children will miss out and the vital outside education trips are vith.	A local resident			
392	7/30/25 15:31:08	7/30/25 15:34:16	anonymous	No	There's already 1 executive, to merge the two is just an admin task. The school works well as it is, why fix something that isn't broken.	Yes	The schools are like communities, everyone looks out for each other. The change doesn't benefit anyone.	A local resident	2		One of the benefits you say about having one exc isn't true because there is only one now
393	7/30/25 15:27:26	7/30/25 15:37:17	anonymous	No	There are no educational benefits only losses with the reduction in a year's budget. Which will make it impossible for the school to continue to provide the current 'GOOD' education and provision. It will undoubtedly result in staffing cuts putting the most vulnerable children at risk.	Yes	The most vulnerable children in Harfield will be at a massive disadvantage as the schools will no longer be able to offer the extra support I currently provide in helping to bridge the gap. It will put all children at Harfield at a disadvantage against similar schools in the borough that will continue to receive separate budgets. Having two sites to manage with one budget will put the schools under even more increased pressure.	A parent/carer/guardian	4	Harfield Infant, Harfield Junior, The Harfield School	I can't see why the proposal is set to be in effect on the middle of a school year. I don't understand why the schools are being pushed to do this when there are other schools in the borough that meet many more of the triggers mainly a deficit budget. This proposal will have a great negative impact on the education of the children of Harfield and should be looking out for local residents. The schools provide excellent, consistent education for all children in both schools currently and with a reduced budget this will be hard to continue. It will also have a negative impact on staff recruitment and retention.
394	7/30/25 15:38:58	7/30/25 15:39:32	anonymous	No		Yes	Harfield residents				
395	7/30/25 15:39:39	7/30/25 15:40:04	anonymous	No		Yes	Harfield residents				
396	7/30/25 15:40:21	7/30/25 15:40:56	anonymous	No		Yes	Harfield residents				

397	7/30/25 15:41:02	7/30/25 15:41:27	anonymous	No		Yes	Harefield families
398	7/30/25 15:41:30	7/30/25 15:41:45	anonymous	No		Yes	Harefield families
399	7/30/25 15:41:57	7/30/25 15:43:33	anonymous	No	Loss of funding	Yes	Harefield children will be educated with less money than other Hillingdon Infant and Junior schools